Obstruction theory to formality and homotopy equivalences

Coline Emprin

Jeudi 6 juin, 2025

arXiv : 2404.17529

The notion of formality

Formal topological spaces

R : commutative ground ring

Definition

A topological space X is formal if there exists a zig-zag of quasi-isomorphisms of dga algebras,

$$C^\bullet_{\mathrm{sing}}(X;R) \xleftarrow{\sim} \cdot \xrightarrow{\sim} \cdots \xleftarrow{\sim} \cdot \xrightarrow{\sim} H^\bullet_{\mathrm{sing}}(X;R)\ .$$

Formal topological spaces

R : commutative ground ring

Definition

A topological space X is formal if there exists a zig-zag of quasi-isomorphisms of dga algebras,

$$C^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{sing}}(X;R) \xleftarrow{\sim} \cdot \xrightarrow{\sim} \cdots \xleftarrow{\sim} \cdot \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{sing}}(X;R)\ .$$

$\rightarrow$ Origins in rational homotopy theory (for $\mathbb{Q} \subset R$)

X formal $\Longrightarrow$ The cohomology ring $H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{sing}}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ completely determines the rational homotopy type of X.

Examples

→ Formal spaces

- Spheres, complex projective spaces, Lie groups
- Compact Kähler manifolds [Deligne, Griffiths, Morgan, Sullivan, '75]
- Positive quaternionic-Kähler manifolds [Amann, Kapovitch 2012]

→ Close to be formal spaces

- Sasakian manifolds: all Massey products of arity $\geqslant 4$ vanish. [Biswas, Fernández, Muñoz, Tralle, '97]

  $\Longrightarrow$ Rational homotopical properties of a manifold are related to the existence of suitable geometric structures.

→ Nonformal spaces

- The complement of the Borromean rings



Formality of an algebraic structure

A : chain complex over R

$\mathscr{P}$: colored operad or properad

$\phi : \mathscr{P} \to \mathrm{End}_A$: a dg $\mathscr{P}$-algebra structure

Definition

The dg $\mathscr{P}$-algebra (A, ϕ) is formal if

$$\exists\, (A, \phi) \xleftarrow{\sim} \cdot \xrightarrow{\sim} \cdots \xleftarrow{\sim} \cdot \xrightarrow{\sim} (H(A), \varphi_*)\ ,$$

where φ_* is the canonical $\mathscr{P}$-algebra structure on $H(A)$.

Examples

- X is formal $= (C^\bullet_{\mathrm{sing}}(X; R), \cup)$ is formal as dga algebra
- $C(\mathcal{D}_k; \mathbb{R})$ is formal as an operad [Kontsevich, 1999]

Purity implies formality

(A, ϕ) : dg $\mathscr{P}$-algebra encoded by an operad $\mathscr{P}$

α : unit of infinite order in R

σ_α : the degree twisting by α = automorphism of $(H(A), \varphi_*)$ which acts via $\alpha^k \times$ on $H_k(A)$.

Theorem

If σ_α admits a chain-level lift, i.e. $\exists\, f \in \mathrm{End}(A, \phi)$ s.t. $H(f) = \sigma_\alpha$, then (A, ϕ) is formal.

- $\rightarrow$ Deligne, Griffiths, Morgan, Sullivan [1975]
- $\rightarrow$ Sullivan [1977]
- $\rightarrow$ Guillén Santos, Navarro, Pascual, Roig [2005]
- $\rightarrow$ Drummond-Cole and Horel [2021]

Examples

- Petersen [2014], Boavida de Brito and Horel [2021]
  The little disks operad $\mathcal{D}_k$ & Grothendieck-Teichmüller group

- Riche, Soergel, Williamson [2014]
  The extensions of parity sheaves on the flag variety.

- Drummond-Cole and Horel [2021]
  X : a complement of a hyperplane arrangement over $\mathbb{C}$
  defined over a finite extension K of $\mathbb{Q}_p$.
  ℓ : a prime number different from p
  $\rightarrow$ $C^\bullet(X_{an}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \cong C^\bullet_{et}(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ [Artin].
  $\rightarrow$ A Frobenius action on $H^\bullet_{et}(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is σ_q [Kim, 1994].

Questions

- Can we descend these results to other coefficient rings? (e.g. $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}, \ldots$)
- Does the degree twisting criteria hold for other types of algebras? (e.g. Hopf algebras, involutive Lie bialgebras,...)
- Is the degree twisting the only homology automorphism satisfying this property?
- Which type of geometric structure can we detect by relaxing the formality condition?

Kaledin classes & formality criteria

1. Higher structures
   - Formality can be addressed as a deformation problem, using the operadic calculus.
2. Kaledin classes
   - An obstruction theory to the formality over any ring
3. Formality criteria
   - Formality descent with torsion coefficient, Automorphism lifts
4. Beyond formality
   - Generalizing Kaledin classes to study homotopy equivalences

Higher structures

Homotopy retracts

Definition

(W, d_W) is a homotopy retract of (V, d_V) if there are maps

$$\begin{tikzcd} (V, d_V) \arrow[loop left, "h"] \arrow[r, shift left, "p"] & (W, d_W) \arrow[l, shift left, "i"] \end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathrm{id}_V - ip = d_V h + h d_V$ and i is a quasi-isomorphism .

Proposition

If R is a field, the cohomology of any cochain complex is a homotopy retract:

$$\begin{tikzcd} (A, d_A) \arrow[loop left, "h"] \arrow[r, shift left, "p"] & (H(A), 0) \arrow[l, shift left, "i"] \end{tikzcd} .$$

Transfer of algebraic structure

(A, d_A, ϕ) : a dga algebra and a homotopy retraction:

$$\begin{tikzcd} (A, d_A, \phi) \arrow[loop left, "h"] \arrow[r, shift left, "p"] & (H, d_H) \arrow[l, shift left, "i"] \end{tikzcd}$$

$\rightarrow$ Transferred product: $\varphi_2 := p \circ \phi \circ i^{\otimes 2} : H^{\otimes 2} \to H$



Transfer of algebraic structure

(A, d_A, ϕ) : a dga algebra and a homotopy retraction:

$$\begin{tikzcd} (A, d_A, \phi) \arrow[loop left, "h"] \arrow[r, shift left, "p"] & (H, d_H) \arrow[l, shift left, "i"] \end{tikzcd}$$

$\rightarrow$ Transferred product: $\varphi_2 := p \circ \phi \circ i^{\otimes 2} : H^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow H$



Not associative in general!



→ Consider $\varphi_3 : H^{\otimes 3} \to H$



→ Consider $\varphi_3 : H^{\otimes 3} \to H$



→ In $\mathrm{Hom}(H^{\otimes 3}, H)$:



→ φ_2 is associative up to the homotopy φ_3.

$\rightarrow$ $\varphi_n : H^{\otimes n} \to H$, for all $n \geqslant 2$





Homotopy associative algebras

Definition (Stasheff, 1963)

A_∞-algebra: a cochain complex H with a collection of maps

$$\varphi_n : H^{\otimes n} \to H$$

of degree $2-n$, for all $n \geqslant 2$, which satisfy the relations



Examples

- Every dga algebra (A, ϕ) is an A_∞-algebra with $\varphi_n = 0$ for all $n \geqslant 3$.
- $(H, d_H, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \dots)$

Homotopy transfer theorem

Theorem (Kadeishvili, 1982)

Given a dga algebra (A, d_A, ϕ) and a homotopy retract

$$\begin{tikzcd} (A, d_A, \phi) \arrow[loop left, "h"] \arrow[r, shift left, "p"] & (H, d_H) \arrow[l, shift left, "i"] \end{tikzcd}$$

there exists an A_∞-algebra structure on H such that p (and i) extend to A_∞-quasi-isomorphisms:

$$(A, d_A, \phi) \rightsquigarrow (H, d_H, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4, \dots)$$

Homotopy morphisms

$(A, d_A, \phi_2, \dots)$, $(H, d_H, \varphi_2, \dots)$: A_∞-algebras

Definition

A_∞-morphism $f : A \rightsquigarrow H$ is a collection of linear maps

$$f_n : A^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow H, \quad n \geqslant 1 \ ,$$

of degree $1 - n$, which satisfy the relations

$$\sum_{\substack{k \geqslant 1 \\ i_1 + \cdots + i_k = n}} \pm$$



$$= \sum_{\substack{k+l=n+1 \\ 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k}} \pm$$



where $\varphi_1 = d_H$ and $\phi_1 = d_A$.

Homotopy quasi-isomorphisms

Definition

A_∞-quasi-isomorphism $f : A \overset{\sim}{\rightsquigarrow} H$ is an A_∞-morphism where $f_1 : A \to H$ is a quasi-isomorphism .

Homotopy quasi-isomorphisms

Definition

A_∞-quasi-isomorphism $f : A \overset{\sim}{\rightsquigarrow} H$ is an A_∞-morphism where $f_1 : A \to H$ is a quasi-isomorphism .

Proposition (R is a field)

quasi-isos of associative algebras $\qquad\qquad$ *A_∞-quasi-iso*

$$\exists\, (A,\phi) \overset{\sim}{\longleftarrow} \cdot \overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \overset{\sim}{\longleftarrow} \cdot \overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow} (B,\phi') \iff \exists\, (A,\phi) \overset{\sim}{\rightsquigarrow} (B,\phi')$$

Homotopy quasi-isomorphisms

Definition

A_∞-quasi-isomorphism $f : A \overset{\sim}{\rightsquigarrow} H$ is an A_∞-morphism where $f_1 : A \to H$ is a quasi-isomorphism .

Proposition (R is a field)

quasi-isos of associative algebras *A_∞-quasi-iso*

$$\exists\, (A,\phi) \xleftarrow{\sim} \cdot \xrightarrow{\sim} \cdots \xleftarrow{\sim} \cdot \xrightarrow{\sim} (B,\phi') \iff \exists\, (A,\phi) \overset{\sim}{\rightsquigarrow} (B,\phi')$$

Corollary

A dga algebra (A,ϕ) is formal if and only if

$$\exists\, (A,\phi) \overset{\sim}{\rightsquigarrow} (H(A),\varphi_*) \ .$$

An equivalent characterization of formality

(A, d, ϕ) a dga algebra such that $H(A)$ is a homotopy retract

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(A, d, \phi) \arrow[r, squiggly, "\text{HTT}"] \arrow[dr, squiggly, "\text{Formality}"'] & (H(A), \varphi_*, \varphi_3, \varphi_4 \ldots) \arrow[d, squiggly, "\exists\ ?"] \\
& (H(A), \varphi_*)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Higher Massey products

$\Longrightarrow$ If the higher Massey products vanish, then (A, d, ϕ) is formal.

An equivalent characterization of formality

(A, d, ϕ) a dga algebra such that $H(A)$ is a homotopy retract

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(A, d, \phi) \arrow[r, squiggly, "\text{HTT}"] \arrow[dr, squiggly, "\text{Formality}"'] & (H(A), \varphi_*, \varphi_3, \varphi_4 \dots) \arrow[d, squiggly, "\exists\ ?"] \\
& (H(A), \varphi_*)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Higher Massey products

$\Longrightarrow$ If the higher Massey products vanish, then (A, d, ϕ) is formal.

Definition

- (A, d, ϕ) is gauge formal if $\exists\ (H(A), \varphi_*, \varphi_3, \varphi_4 \dots) \overset{\sim}{\rightsquigarrow} (H(A), \varphi_*)$.
- (A, d, ϕ) is gauge n-formal if

$$\exists\ (H(A), \varphi_*, \varphi_3, \varphi_4 \dots) \overset{\sim}{\rightsquigarrow} (H(A), \varphi_*, 0, \dots, 0, \varphi'_{n+1}, \dots)\ .$$

Kaledin classes

Hochschild complex

Transfered structure: $(H(A), \varphi_*, \varphi_3, \varphi_4, \ldots)$

$$\varphi_n \in Hom(H(A)^{\otimes n}, H(A)), \quad |\varphi_n| = 2 - n$$

Hochschild cochain complex:

$$\mathfrak{g} := \prod_{n \geqslant 1} s^{-n+1} Hom(H(A)^{\otimes n}, H(A))$$

Lie bracket : $[x, y] := x \star y - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \star x$

$$x \star y := \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{(i-1)(m-1)}$$



for $x \in Hom(H(A)^{\otimes n}, H(A))$ and $y \in Hom(H(A)^{\otimes m}, H(A))$.

A formal deformation

Transfered structure:

$$(\varphi_*, \varphi_3, \varphi_4, \ldots) \in \mathfrak{g} := \prod_{n \geqslant 1} s^{-n+1} Hom(H(A)^{\otimes n}, H(A))$$

A formal deformation:

$$\Phi := \varphi_* + \varphi_3 \hbar + \varphi_4 \hbar^2 + \cdots \in \mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!] := \mathfrak{g} \widehat{\otimes} R[\![\hbar]\!]$$

Proposition : $\mathrm{ad}_\Phi := [\Phi, -]$ defines a differential on $\mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!]$

Twisted dg Lie algebra:

$$\mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!]^\Phi := (\mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!], [-,-], \mathrm{ad}_\Phi)$$

Kaledin classes

$$\partial_\hbar \Phi := \varphi_3 + 2\varphi_4 \hbar + 3\varphi_5 \hbar^2 + \cdots \in \mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!]$$

Lemma : $\partial_\hbar \Phi$ is a cycle in $\mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!]^\Phi := (\mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!], [-,-], \mathrm{ad}_\Phi)$,

$$\mathrm{ad}_\Phi(\partial_\hbar \Phi) := [\Phi, \partial_\hbar \Phi] = 0 \ .$$

Kaledin classes

$$\partial_\hbar \Phi := \varphi_3 + 2\varphi_4 \hbar + 3\varphi_5 \hbar^2 + \cdots \in \mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!]$$

Lemma : $\partial_\hbar \Phi$ is a cycle in $\mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!]^\Phi := (\mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!], [-,-], \mathrm{ad}_\Phi)$,

$$\mathrm{ad}_\Phi(\partial_\hbar \Phi) := [\Phi, \partial_\hbar \Phi] = 0 \ .$$

Kaledin class:

$$K_\Phi := [\partial_\hbar \Phi] \in H^1\left(\mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!]^\Phi\right) \ .$$

n^{th}-truncated Kaledin class :

$$K_\Phi^n := \left[\varphi_3 + 2\varphi_4 \hbar + \cdots + (n-2)\varphi_n \hbar^{n-3}\right] \in H^1\left(\left(\mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!]/\hbar^{n-2}\right)^{\widetilde{\Phi}}\right) \ .$$

Kaledin classes

Kaledin class:

$$K_\Phi := \left[\varphi_3 + 2\varphi_4\hbar + 3\varphi_5\hbar^2 + \cdots\right] \in H^1\left(\mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!]^\Phi\right)$$

n^{th}-truncated Kaledin class :

$$K_\Phi^n := \left[\varphi_3 + 2\varphi_4\hbar + \cdots + (n-2)\varphi_n\hbar^{n-3}\right] \in H^1\left(\left(\mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!]/\hbar^{n-2}\right)^{\widetilde{\Phi}}\right)$$

Theorem ([Kaledin, 2007], [Lunts, 2007])

R : $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra

(A, ϕ) : dg associative algebra, $H(A)$ is a homotopy retract

- *(A, ϕ) is gauge formal $\iff K_\Phi = 0$.*
- *(A, ϕ) is gauge n-formal $\iff K_\Phi^n = 0$.*

Kaledin class:

$$K_\Phi := \left[\varphi_3 + 2\varphi_4\hbar + 3\varphi_5\hbar^2 + \cdots\right] \in H^1\left(\mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!]^\Phi\right)$$

n^{th}-truncated Kaledin class :

$$K_\Phi^n := \left[\varphi_3 + 2\varphi_4\hbar + \cdots + (n-2)\varphi_n\hbar^{n-3}\right] \in H^1\left(\left(\mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!]/\hbar^{n-2}\right)^{\widetilde{\Phi}}\right)$$

Theorem ([Kaledin, 2007], [Lunts, 2007], [Melani–Rubió, 2019])

R : *$\mathbb{Q}$-algebra*

: *Binary Koszul operad*

(A, ϕ) : *dg $\mathscr{P}$-algebra that admits a transferred structure*

- (A, ϕ) *is gauge formal* $\iff K_\Phi = 0$.
- (A, ϕ) *is gauge n-formal* $\iff K_\Phi^n = 0$.

Kaledin class:

$$K_\Phi := \left[\varphi_3 + 2\varphi_4\hbar + 3\varphi_5\hbar^2 + \cdots\right] \in H^1\left(\mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!]^\Phi\right)$$

n^{th}-truncated Kaledin class :

$$K_\Phi^n := \left[\varphi_3 + 2\varphi_4\hbar + \cdots + (n-2)\varphi_n\hbar^{n-3}\right] \in H^1\left(\left(\mathfrak{g}[\![\hbar]\!]/\hbar^{n-2}\right)^{\widetilde{\Phi}}\right)$$

Theorem (E., 2024)

R : *commutative ground ring*

$\mathscr{P}$: *(pr)operad colored in groupoids*

n : *integer such that* $n!$ *is invertible in* R

(A, ϕ) : *dg -algebra that admits a transferred structure*

- (A, ϕ) *is gauge formal* $\iff K_\Phi = 0$.
- (A, ϕ) *is gauge n-formal* $\iff K_\Phi^n = 0$.

Formality criteria

Formality descent

(A, ϕ) : a dg $\mathscr{P}$-algebra that admits a transferred structure

$H_i(A)$: projective, finitely generated for all i.

S : faithfully flat commutative R-algebra.

Formality descent

(A, ϕ) : a dg $\mathscr{P}$-algebra that admits a transferred structure

$H_i(A)$: projective, finitely generated for all i.

S : faithfully flat commutative R-algebra.

Proposition (E., 2024)

(A, ϕ) is gauge n-formal $\iff$ $(A \otimes_R S, \phi \otimes 1)$ is gauge n-formal.

Proof.

$$H_{-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{H(A)}[\![\hbar]\!]^{\Phi}\right) \otimes_{R[\![\hbar]\!]} S[\![\hbar]\!] \quad \cong \quad H_{-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{H(A\otimes_R S)}[\![\hbar]\!]^{\Phi\otimes 1}\right) \qquad \square$$

Examples

- $C(\mathcal{D}_k; \mathbb{R})$ is formal $\iff$ $C(\mathcal{D}_k; \mathbb{Q})$ is formal [GSNPR, 2005]
- $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)} \subset \mathbb{Z}_\ell$

Complement of hyperplane arrangements

X : a complement of a hyperplane arrangement over $\mathbb{C}$
$\rightarrow$ complement of a finite collection of affine hyperplanes in $\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$.

K : a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$

q : order of the residue field of the ring of integers of K

ℓ : a prime number different from p

s : order of q in $\mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$

Proposition (Dummond-Cole – Horel, 2021)

If X is defined over K, i.e. $\exists\ K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\exists\ \mathcal{X}$ a complement of a hyperplane arrangement over K s.t. $\mathcal{X} \times_K \mathbb{C} \cong X$, then $C^\bullet(X_{an}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is gauge $(s-1)$-formal.

Formality descent $\implies$ $C^\bullet(X_{an}, \mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)})$ is gauge $(s-1)$-formal.

Triviality of fibrations

Theorem (E., 2024)

X : a simply connected topological space

F : a nilpotent space of finite $\mathbb{Q}$-type.

A fibration $\xi : E \to X$ with fiber $F_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is trivial up to homotopy iff $\xi \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is trivial up to homotopy.

Example

The Fadell–Neuwirth fibration :

$$\xi : \mathrm{Conf}_{n-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Conf}_n\left(\mathbb{S}^d\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^d \ .$$

If d is odd, $\xi \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is trivial up to homotopy [Haya Enriquez, 2022]

$\implies$ ξ is trivial up to homotopy.

Intrinsic formality

A graded $\mathscr{P}$-algebra (H, φ_*) is intrinsically formal if every $\mathscr{P}$-algebra (A, ϕ) such that $(H(A), \varphi_*) = (H, \varphi_*)$ is itself gauge formal.

$\mathfrak{g}^{\varphi_*} : (\mathfrak{g}, [-,-], d + [\varphi_*, -])$

Proposition (E., 2023)

$$H^1(\mathfrak{g}^{\varphi_*}) = 0 \implies (H, \varphi_*) \textit{ intrinsically formal.}$$

Previous works: [Hinich, 2003]

Properadic coformality of spheres

Example (Kontsevich, Takeda, Vlassopoulos, 2021)

$A = C_*(\Omega S^n; R)$ has an n-pre-Calabi-Yau structure

$$\phi = m_{(1)} + m_{(2)} + m_{(3)} + \cdots$$

where $m_{(\ell)}$ is a cyclically anti-symmetric collection of maps

$$m_{(\ell)}^{k_1,\ldots,k_\ell} : sA^{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes sA^{k_\ell} \to A^\ell \ .$$

In particular, $m_{(1)}^0 = 0$, $m_{(1)}$ is an A_∞-algebra, $m_{(2)}$ is a noncommutative Poisson bivector up to a $[m_{(1)}, -]$-exact term,

$$m_{(2)} \star m_{(2)} = \left[m_{(1)}, m_{(3)}\right] \ .$$

= a polyvector field + integrability condition with respect to a noncommutative analogue of the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket.

Properadic coformality of spheres

The n-pre-Calabi-Yau algebra structure on $A = C_*(\Omega S^n; R)$ has vanishing copairing:

$$m^{0,0}_{(2)} = 0$$

$\rightarrow$ always the case where A is connective and $n \geqslant 1$.

Theorem (E., Takeda, 2025)

If R is a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, (H, φ_*) is intrinsically formal as an n-pre-Calabi-Yau algebra structure with vanishing copairing.

Automorphism lifts

R : a field

(A, ϕ) : a dg $\mathscr{P}$-algebra that admits a transferred structure s.t. $H(A)$ is finite dimensional.

Corollary (E., 2024)

Suppose that there exists $u \in \mathrm{Aut}(H(A), \varphi_0)$ such that for all $k < n$, and all p-tuples $(k_1, \ldots, k_p)$,

$$\mathrm{Spec}(u_{k_1+\cdots+k_p+k}) \cap \mathrm{Spec}(u_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{k_p}) = \varnothing \ ,$$

where $u_i := u_{|H_i(A)}$. If u admits a lift at the level of chains then (A, ϕ) is gauge n-formal.

Frobenius & Weil numbers

K : a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$

q : order of the residue field of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$

ℓ : a prime number different from p

X : a smooth proper K-scheme

Definition

$\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ is a Weil number of weight n if

$$\forall\, \iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad |\iota(\alpha)| = q^{n/2} \ .$$

Theorem (Deligne, 1974)

For all n, the eigenvalues of a Frobenius action on $H^n_{et}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ are Weil numbers of weight n.

Theorem

Let X be a smooth and proper scheme over $\mathbb{C}$. The algebra $C^\bullet(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Q})$ is formal.

Proof.

- There exists a smooth and proper model $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$.

$$C^\bullet(X_{an}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong C^\bullet_{et}(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

- Let u be the Frobenius action on $H^\bullet_{et}(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.
- For all $k \geq 1$, $(k_1, \ldots, k_p)$ and $s := k_1 + \cdots + k_p$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Spec}(u_{s+k}) & \cap & \mathrm{Spec}(u_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{k_p}) & = \varnothing \ . \\ \Psi & & \Psi & \\ \alpha & & \beta & \\ |\iota(\alpha)| = q^{\frac{s+k}{2}} & > & |\iota(\beta)| = q^{\frac{s}{2}} & \end{array}$$

□

Previous work: [Deligne, 1980]

Let Sch_K be the category of smooth and proper schemes over K of *good reduction*, i.e. for which there exists a smooth and proper model over $\mathcal{O}_K$.

Theorem (E., 2024)

Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a groupoid and let $\mathscr{P}$ be a $\mathbb{V}$-colored operad in sets. Let X be a $\mathscr{P}$-algebra in Sch_K. The dg $\mathscr{P}$-algebra $C_\bullet(X_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Q})$ is formal.

Example (Guillén Santos, Navarro, Pascual, & Roig, 2005)

$\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ the cyclic operad of moduli spaces of stable algebraic curves $C_\bullet(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{an}; \mathbb{Q})$ is formal

Beyond formality

Homotopy equivalences between algebraic structure

Definition

The dg $\mathscr{P}$-algebras (A, φ) and (B, ψ) are

- homotopy equivalent

$$\exists\, (A, \varphi) \xleftarrow{\sim} \cdot \xrightarrow{\sim} \cdots \xleftarrow{\sim} \cdot \xrightarrow{\sim} (B, \psi)$$

- gauge homotopy equivalent if $\exists\, (A, \phi) \overset{\sim}{\rightsquigarrow} (B, \psi)$.
- gauge n-homotopy equivalent if there exists

$$f : (A, \varphi) \overset{\sim}{\rightsquigarrow} (B, \psi) \pmod{\mathcal{F}^{n+1}\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Example

(A, φ) is formal if it is homotopy equivalent to $(H(A), \varphi_*)$

Proposition

Let φ and ψ be two $\mathscr{P}$-algebra structures on $H(A)$ such that

$$\varphi - \psi \in \mathcal{F}^n \mathfrak{g} \ .$$

The following propositions are equivalent.

1. *The algebras are gauge n-homotopy equivalent.*
2. *The class*

$$\vartheta_n := [\pi_{n+1}(\varphi - \psi)] \in H_{-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\psi}/\mathcal{F}^{n+1}\mathfrak{g}\right)$$

vanishes.

Obstruction sequences to homotopy equivalences

Let (A, φ) and (B, ψ) be two $\mathscr{P}$-algebras with $H(A) \cong H(B)$.

$\rightarrow$ obstruction sequence $(\vartheta_k)_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n}$ which is either

- an infinite sequence of vanishing classes, when $n = \infty$;
- a finite sequence of trivial classes that ends on $\vartheta_n \neq 0$.

The index $n \in [\![1, \infty]\!]$ only depends on φ and ψ: this is their *homotopy equivalence degree*.

Obstruction sequences to homotopy equivalences

Let (A, φ) and (B, ψ) be two $\mathscr{P}$-algebras with $H(A) \cong H(B)$.

$\rightarrow$ obstruction sequence $(\vartheta_k)_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n}$ which is either

- an infinite sequence of vanishing classes, when $n = \infty$;
- a finite sequence of trivial classes that ends on $\vartheta_n \neq 0$.

The index $n \in [\![1, \infty]\!]$ only depends on φ and ψ: this is their *homotopy equivalence degree*.

Theorem (E., 2025)

1. *If R is a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, the algebras are gauge k-homotopy equivalent, for all k if and only if $n = \infty$.*
2. *If n is such that $n!$ is invertible in R, the algebras are gauge $(n-1)$-homotopy equivalent but not gauge n-homotopy equivalent if and only if $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Minimal model on highly connected variety

Theorem (E., 2025)

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field. Let M^d be a compact k-connected oriented C^∞-manifold where d is smaller than $(\ell+1)k+2$. For every prime number p,

$$C^*_{\mathrm{sing}}(M,\mathbb{K})$$

is homotopy equivalent to an A_∞-algebra $(H^*_{\mathrm{sing}}(M,\mathbb{K}),\varphi)$, with $\varphi_n = 0$ for $n \geqslant \ell$.

Thank you for your attention!