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## Introduction

## The scaled Boltzmann equation

Boltzmann equation $=$ evolution of particles density $F^{\varepsilon}(t, x, v) \geq 0$, mean free path (Knudsen number) $=\varepsilon$ and $x \in \Omega=\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{T}^{d},(d=2,3)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varepsilon \partial_{t} F^{\varepsilon}+v \cdot \nabla_{x} F^{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q\left(F^{\varepsilon}, F^{\varepsilon}\right) \\
Q(F, G)(v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{v_{*}}^{d} \times \mathbb{S}_{\sigma}^{d-1}}\left|v-v_{*}\right|\left(F\left(v^{\prime}\right) G\left(v_{*}^{\prime}\right)-F(v) G\left(v_{*}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} v_{*} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \\
v^{\prime}=\frac{v+v_{*}}{2}+\sigma \frac{\left|v-v_{*}\right|}{2}, \quad v_{*}^{\prime}=\frac{v+v_{*}}{2}-\sigma \frac{\left|v-v_{*}\right|}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Introduction

The scaled Boltzmann equation

Boltzmann equation $=$ evolution of particles density $F^{\varepsilon}(t, x, v) \geq 0$, mean free path (Knudsen number) $=\varepsilon$ and $x \in \Omega=\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{T}^{d},(d=2,3)$

$$
\varepsilon \partial_{t} F^{\varepsilon}+v \cdot \nabla_{x} F^{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q\left(F^{\varepsilon}, F^{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

Conserved macroscopic observables:

- Mass : $R^{\varepsilon}=\int F^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} v$
- Momentum : $R^{\varepsilon} U^{\varepsilon}=\int F^{\varepsilon} v \mathrm{~d} v$
- Energy : $\frac{1}{2} R^{\varepsilon}|U|^{2}+\frac{d}{2} R^{\varepsilon} T^{\varepsilon}=\int F^{\varepsilon} \frac{|v|^{2}}{2} \mathrm{~d} v$


## Introduction

Relation with the incompressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier system

Gas at thermodynamic equilibrium (constant heat, mass density, at rest) :

$$
M=(2 \pi)^{-d / 2} \exp \left(-|v|^{2} / 2\right)
$$

Statistical fluctuation of order $\varepsilon$ :

$$
F^{\varepsilon}=M+\varepsilon f^{\varepsilon}, F_{\mid t=0}^{\varepsilon}=M+\varepsilon f_{\mathrm{in}}
$$

Macroscopic fluctuations of order $\varepsilon$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{\varepsilon}(t, x) & \approx 1+\varepsilon \rho^{\varepsilon}(t, x), \\
U^{\varepsilon}(t, x) & \approx 0+\varepsilon u^{\varepsilon}(t, x), \\
T^{\varepsilon}(t, x) & \approx 1+\varepsilon \theta^{\varepsilon}(t, x)
\end{aligned}
$$
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Relation with the incompressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier system
Gas at thermodynamic equilibrium (constant heat, mass density, at rest) :

$$
M=(2 \pi)^{-d / 2} \exp \left(-|v|^{2} / 2\right)
$$

Statistical fluctuation of order $\varepsilon$ :

$$
F^{\varepsilon}=M+\varepsilon f^{\varepsilon}, F_{\mid t=0}^{\varepsilon}=M+\varepsilon f_{\mathrm{in}}
$$

"Linearized" equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} f^{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\mathcal{L}+\varepsilon v \cdot \nabla_{x}\right) f^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q\left(f^{\varepsilon}, f^{\varepsilon}\right) \\
f_{\mid t=0}^{\varepsilon}=f_{\mathrm{in}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{L}:=Q(M, \cdot)+Q(\cdot, M)
$$

## Introduction

Relation with the incompressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier system

## Definition-Theorem (microscopic, macroscopic)

- We say $f(x, v)$ is macroscopic if it satisfies the equivalent conditions
- $\mathcal{L} f=0$
- $f(x, v)=\left(\rho(x)+u(x) \cdot v+\frac{1}{2}\left(|v|^{2}-d\right) \theta(x)\right) M(v)$
and well-prepared if $\nabla_{x} \cdot u(x)=0, \rho(x)+\theta(x)=0$.
- We say $f$ is microscopic if

$$
\int f(v) \varphi(v) M(v) \mathrm{d} v=0, \varphi(v)=1, v,|v|^{2}
$$

## Introduction

Relation with the incompressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier system

## Theorem (1991-2004)

If $F^{\varepsilon}=M+\varepsilon f^{\varepsilon}$ is a "renormalized" solution to the Boltzmann equation, then $f^{\varepsilon}$ converges in a weak sense to

$$
f^{0}(t, x, v)=\left(\rho(t, x)+u(t, x) \cdot v+\frac{1}{2}\left(|v|^{2}-d\right) \theta(t, x)\right) M(v)
$$

where $(\rho, u, \theta)$ are Leray solutions to the Navier-Stokes-Fourier

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u+u \cdot \nabla_{x} u=\mu \Delta_{x} u-\nabla_{x} p  \tag{INSF}\\
\partial_{t} \theta+u \cdot \nabla_{x} \theta=\kappa \Delta_{x} \theta, \\
\nabla_{x} \cdot u=0, \quad \rho+\theta=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $\mu, \kappa>0$ depend only on $Q$ and $M$.

## Construction of solutions and convergence

Initial data with Gaussian decay (Bardos-Ukai/Gallagher-Tristani)

- Functional space : $\mathbf{G}=L_{v}^{\infty} H_{x}^{s}\left(M^{-1 / 2}\langle v\rangle^{\beta} \mathrm{d} v\right)$
- Spectral study of $\mathcal{L}+v \cdot \nabla_{x}$ from R. Ellis, M. Pinsky, S. Ukai (c.f. figure)
- "Grad's decomposition" of $\mathcal{L}$



## Construction of solutions and convergence

Initial data with Gaussian decay (Bardos-Ukai/Gallagher-Tristani)

Duhamel formulation, initial data $f_{\mid t=0}^{\varepsilon}=f_{\text {in }}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t} f^{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\mathcal{L}+\varepsilon v \cdot \nabla_{x}\right) f^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q\left(f^{\varepsilon}, f^{\varepsilon}\right), \\
\downarrow \\
f^{\varepsilon}(t)=U^{\varepsilon}(t) f_{\text {in }}+\Psi^{\varepsilon}(t)\left(f^{\varepsilon}, f^{\varepsilon}\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

Where we denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{\varepsilon}(t) & :=\exp \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\mathcal{L}+\varepsilon v \cdot \nabla_{x}\right)\right) \\
\Psi^{\varepsilon}(t)\left(f^{\varepsilon}, f^{\varepsilon}\right) & :=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{t} U^{\varepsilon}\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) Q\left(f^{\varepsilon}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}, f^{\varepsilon}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Construction of solutions and convergence

Initial data with Gaussian decay (Bardos-Ukai/Gallagher-Tristani)

$$
f^{\varepsilon}(t)=U^{\varepsilon}(t) f_{\text {in }}+\Psi^{\varepsilon}(t)\left(f^{\varepsilon}, f^{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

- Bardos-Ukai (1991):
- uniform bounds on $U^{\varepsilon}$ and $\Psi^{\varepsilon}$
- convergence of $U^{\varepsilon}$ and $\Psi^{\varepsilon}$
- $\rightarrow$ global solutions for $\left\|f_{\text {in }}\right\|_{\mathbf{G}} \ll 1$, then strong limit
- Gallagher-Tristani (2019)
- Well-prepared part of $f_{\text {in }} \rightarrow$ strong $f^{0}$ solution of (INSF) on $[0, T]$
- Write equation on $f^{\varepsilon}-f^{0}$ - ac. waves, fixed point, then limit


## Construction of solutions and convergence

Initial data with Gaussian decay (Bardos-Ukai/Gallagher-Tristani)

## Reminder

- Mass density : $\int F^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} v$
- Energy : $\frac{1}{2} R^{\varepsilon}|U|^{2}+\frac{d}{2} R^{\varepsilon} T^{\varepsilon}=\int F^{\varepsilon} \frac{|v|^{2}}{2} \mathrm{~d} v$

Question: Can we only assume $f_{\text {in }} \in[\ldots]_{x} L_{v}^{1}\left(\langle v\rangle^{2} \mathrm{~d} v\right)$ ?

## Construction of solutions and convergence

## Initial data with polynomial decay

## Theorem (G. 2021)

Let $s>\frac{d}{2}, k>3, f_{\text {in }} \in L_{v}^{1} H_{x}^{s}\left(\langle v\rangle^{k}\right)$, there exists $T \in(0, \infty]$ s.t.

- for $\varepsilon \ll 1$, the equation $\left(B^{\varepsilon}\right)$ has a a unique solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}_{b}( {\left.[0, T) ; L_{v}^{1} H_{x}^{s}\left(\langle v\rangle^{k+1}\right)\right) } \\
& \cap L^{1}\left([0, T) ; L_{v}^{1} H_{x}^{s}\left(\langle v\rangle^{k+1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $f^{\varepsilon}=f^{0}+u_{\mathrm{ac}}^{\varepsilon}+u_{1}^{\varepsilon}+u_{\infty}^{\varepsilon}$, where $f^{0}$ is the strong solution to (INSF) generated by the well-prepared part of $f_{\text {in }}$,

$$
u_{1}^{\varepsilon}(t)=O\left(e^{-\lambda t / \varepsilon^{2}}\right), u_{\infty}^{\varepsilon}(t)=o(1), u_{\mathrm{ac}}^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup 0
$$

- macroscopic part of $f_{\text {in }}$ well-prepared $\Rightarrow u_{w}^{\varepsilon}=0$
- $f_{\text {in }}$ purely macroscopic (micro. part $\left.=0\right) \Rightarrow u_{1}^{\varepsilon}=0$


## Construction of solutions and convergence

## Initial data with polynomial decay

Functional space: $\mathbf{P}:=L_{v}^{p} H_{x}^{s}\left(\langle v\rangle^{\beta} \mathrm{d} v\right)$

- C. Mouhot (2005): Enlargement Theory
- M.P. Gualdani, S. Mischler, C. Mouhot (2017): strong solution for $\left(B^{\varepsilon}\right)$ when $\varepsilon=1$ and $\left\|f_{\text {in }}\right\|_{\mathbf{P}} \ll 1$
- M. Briant, S. Merino, C. Mouhot (2019): weak hydrodynamic limit
- write $f^{\varepsilon}=g^{\varepsilon}+h^{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{G}+\mathbf{P} \rightarrow$ coupled system
- uniform estimates on $h^{\varepsilon}$ and $g^{\varepsilon}$


## Proof of the theorem

## Strategy

Grad's decomposition: $\mathcal{L}=-\nu(v)+K$

$$
\nu_{0}\langle v\rangle \leq \nu(v) \leq \nu_{1}\langle v\rangle, K \rightarrow \text { moment gain }
$$

GMM decomposition: $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{B}+\mathcal{A}$

$$
\mathcal{B}=-\nu+\text { perturbation, } \mathcal{A}: \mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{\text { bounded }} \mathbf{G}
$$

- Split $f^{\varepsilon}=h^{\varepsilon}+g^{\varepsilon}$ in the way of Briant-Merino-Mouhot
- $h^{\varepsilon}$ satisfies nice equation
- Build $g^{\varepsilon}$ close to $f^{0}=$ solution to (INSF) on $[0, T)$ in the way of Gallagher-Tristani

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{A} f(v):=\int \Theta\left(M_{*}^{\prime} f^{\prime}+M^{\prime} f_{*}^{\prime}-M f_{*}\right)\left|v-v_{*}\right| \mathrm{d} v_{*} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \\
\Theta \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Proof of the theorem

## Splitting of the equation

- Use the GMM splitting $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{B}+\mathcal{A}$

$$
\mathcal{B} h \approx-(1+|v|) h, \quad \mathcal{A}: \mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{\text { bded. }} \mathbf{G}
$$

- Write $f^{\varepsilon}=h^{\varepsilon}+g^{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{P}+\mathbf{G}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{t} f^{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\mathcal{L}+\varepsilon v \cdot \nabla_{x}\right) f^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q\left(f^{\varepsilon}, f^{\varepsilon}\right), \\
\Uparrow \\
\mathbf{P}: \partial_{t} h^{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\mathcal{B}+\varepsilon v \cdot \nabla_{x}\right) h^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q\left(h^{\varepsilon}, h^{\varepsilon}+2 g^{\varepsilon}\right), \\
\mathbf{G}: \partial_{t} g^{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\mathcal{L}+\varepsilon v \cdot \nabla_{x}\right) g^{\varepsilon} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \mathcal{A} h^{\varepsilon}}_{\in \mathbf{G}}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q\left(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon}\right), \\
\left(h^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon}\right)_{\mid t=0}=\left(f_{\text {in,mic }}, f_{\text {in,mac }}\right) \in \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{G}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Proof of the theorem

## control of the polynomial part

$$
\partial_{t} h^{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\mathcal{B}+\varepsilon v \cdot \nabla_{x}\right) h^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q\left(h^{\varepsilon}, h^{\varepsilon}+2 g^{\varepsilon}\right),
$$

- Energy estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\|h^{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{\mathbf{P}} \leq- & \frac{\Lambda}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left\|\langle v\rangle h^{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{\mathbf{P}} \\
& +\frac{M}{\varepsilon}\left\|\langle v\rangle h^{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{\mathbf{P}}\left\|h^{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{\mathbf{P}}+(\ldots)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Grönwall for some $0<\lambda<\Lambda$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{0 \leq t<T}\left(e^{\lambda t / \varepsilon^{2}}\left\|h^{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{\mathbf{P}}+\frac{\Lambda-\lambda}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\lambda t^{\prime} / \varepsilon^{2}}\left\|\langle v\rangle h^{\varepsilon}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{\mathbf{P}} \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}\right) \\
&=:\left\|h^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\mathbf{P}^{\varepsilon}} \leq C \varepsilon\left\|h^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\mathbf{P}^{\varepsilon}}\left(\left\|h^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\mathbf{P}^{\varepsilon}}+\left\|g^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} \mathbf{G}}\right)+\left\|f_{\text {in,mic }}\right\|_{\mathbf{P}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof of the theorem

## Study of the Gaussian part

- Duhamel formulation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
g^{\varepsilon}(t)=U^{\varepsilon}(t) f_{\mathrm{in}, \mathrm{mac}}+\Psi^{\varepsilon}(t)\left(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon}\right)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} U^{\varepsilon} * \mathcal{A} h^{\varepsilon}(t), \\
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} U^{\varepsilon} * \mathcal{A} h^{\varepsilon}(t):=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} U^{\varepsilon}\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \mathcal{A} h^{\varepsilon}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Usual Duhamel form of $\left(B^{\varepsilon}\right)$ but $\left\|h^{\varepsilon}(t)\right\| \lesssim e^{-\lambda t / \varepsilon^{2}}$
$\rightarrow$ convolution bounded but not small

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{\varepsilon}(t) & :=\exp \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\mathcal{L}+\varepsilon v \cdot \nabla_{x}\right)\right) \\
\Psi^{\varepsilon}(t)\left(f^{\varepsilon}, f^{\varepsilon}\right) & :=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{t} U^{\varepsilon}\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) Q\left(f^{\varepsilon}\left(t^{\prime}\right), f^{\varepsilon}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof of the theorem

## Study of the Gaussian part

## Lemma (G. 21)

Uniformly in $t$ and $\varepsilon$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} U^{\varepsilon} * \mathcal{A} h^{\varepsilon}(t) & =U^{\varepsilon}(t) f_{\mathrm{in}, \mathrm{mic}}+O(\varepsilon)+O\left(e^{-\lambda t / \varepsilon^{2}}\right) \\
& =o(1)+O\left(e^{-\lambda t / \varepsilon^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Denote $V^{\varepsilon}(t):=\exp \left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\mathcal{B}+\varepsilon v \cdot \nabla_{x}\right)\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Duhamel } & \rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
U^{\varepsilon}=V^{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} U^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{A} * V^{\varepsilon}, \\
h^{\varepsilon}=V^{\varepsilon} f_{\text {in,mic }}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} V^{\varepsilon} * Q\left(h^{\varepsilon}, h^{\varepsilon}+2 g^{\varepsilon}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& \rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} U^{\varepsilon} * \mathcal{A} h^{\varepsilon}(t)=U^{\varepsilon}(t) f_{\text {in,mic }}+(\text { bi }) \text { linear in } \frac{h^{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \\
& \xrightarrow[\text { a priori bound on } h^{\varepsilon}]{\text { spectral study }} o(1)+O\left(e^{-\lambda t / \varepsilon^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof of the theorem

## Study of the Gaussian part

- New unknown $\bar{g}^{\varepsilon}:=g^{\varepsilon}-f^{0}-O\left(e^{-\lambda t / \varepsilon^{2}}\right)$ - aco. waves

$$
\begin{gathered}
g^{\varepsilon}=U^{\varepsilon} f_{\text {in,mac }}+\Psi^{\varepsilon}\left(g^{\varepsilon}, g^{\varepsilon}\right)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} U^{\varepsilon} * \mathcal{A} h^{\varepsilon}, \\
\downarrow \\
\bar{g}^{\varepsilon}=o(1)+\underbrace{\{\text { Linear }\}}_{\text {contraction }}\left(\bar{g}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\underbrace{\{\text { Bilinear }\}}_{\text {bounded }}\left(\bar{g}^{\varepsilon}, \bar{g}^{\varepsilon}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

- \{Linear\} and $\{$ Bilinear $\}$ depend on $f^{0}$ $\rightarrow$ use norm equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\infty} \mathbf{G}} \rightarrow\{$ Linear\} is a contraction
- $\ldots$ and on $\boldsymbol{h}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow$ generalize some estimates/convergence on $U^{\varepsilon}$ and $\Psi^{\varepsilon}$ to $\mathbf{P}$.
- Factorization techniques using $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{B}+\mathcal{A}$
- Estimates/convergence in $\mathbf{G} \rightarrow$ Estimates/convergence in $\mathbf{P}$

Thank you for your attention!

