## Midterm

October 12th and 13th

To do a later question in a problem, you can always assume a previous question even if you have not answered it.

## Problem 1:

Let T be a theory with infinite models in a language  $\mathcal{L}$  with one sort. Assume that:

- T eliminates quantifiers;
- For all  $A \subseteq M \models T$ , acl(A) = A.
- For all  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\varphi(x,y)$ , there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that for all  $M \models T$  and  $a \in M^y$ , if  $|\varphi(M,a)| \geq k$ , then  $\varphi(M,a)$  is infinite. We say that T eliminates  $\exists^{\infty}$ .

Let  $M \models T$  and let < be a total order on M. We say that < is generic if for all M-definable infinite  $X \subseteq M$  and  $a < b \in M \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$ ,  $X \cap (a, b) \neq \emptyset$ , where (a, b) is the open interval between a and b.

1. Show that if T is strongly minimal and only has infinite models, then it eliminates  $\exists^{\infty}$ .

**Solution:** Assume T does not eliminate  $\exists^{\infty}$ . So for some formula  $\varphi(x,y)$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , there exists  $M_i \models T$  and  $a_i \in M_i^y$  such that  $i \leq |\varphi(M_i, a_i)| < \infty$ . Let us first assume that  $|x| = 1^1$ . Note that since  $M_i$  is infinite,  $\neg \varphi(M_i, a_i)$  is infinite.

Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be a non-principal ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $M := \prod_{i \to \mathfrak{U}} M_i \models T$  and  $a := [(a_i)_i]_{\mathfrak{U}} \in M^y$ . Then for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , since  $M_j \models \exists^{\geq i} x \ \varphi(x, a_j) \land \exists^{\geq i} x \ \neg \varphi(x, a_j)$  for all  $j \geq i$ , we have  $|\varphi(M, a)| \geq i$  and  $|\neg \varphi(M, a)| \geq i$ , for all i, i.e.  $\varphi(M, a)$  is infinite and coinfinite, contradicting strong minimality of T.

We now proceed by induction on |x|. We just proved that we cannot have |x| = 1. If x = (s,t) where |t| = 1, then, by induction, there exists k such that for all  $M \models T$ ,  $a \in M^y$  and  $b \in M^t$ , if  $|\varphi(M,b,a)| \ge k$ , then it is infinite and if  $|\exists s \varphi(s,M,a)| \ge k$ , it is infinite. If  $\varphi(M,a)$  is finite, the projection to  $M^t$  is finite so size at most k. Moreover, for all b in the projection,  $\varphi(M,b,a)$  is finite of size at most k so  $\varphi(M,a)$  is size at most  $k^2$ . But that contradicts our initial hypothesis regarding  $\varphi$ .

2. Let  $M \models T$  be infinite and < be a total order on M. Show that there exists  $N \not > M$  with a generic order extending <.

**Solution:** First, let us show that we can build  $N \ge M$  and an order on N extending that of M, such that any infinite M-definable subset of some  $N^x$  has a non empty intersection with any interval whose bounds are in  $M \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ . Let  $N \ge M$  be  $(|M| + |\mathcal{L}|)^+$ -saturated. It follows that any infinite N-definable set has cardinality at least  $\kappa^+ := (|M| + |\mathcal{L}|)^+$ . Let  $\{(a_i, b_i, X_i) : i \in \kappa\}$  be an enumeration of all triples  $a < b \in M \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$  and infinite M-definable set  $X \subseteq N$ . We construct a total order  $<_i$  extending < and all  $<_j$  for j < i, on some  $A_i \subseteq N$  with  $|A_i| < \kappa$ , by induction

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ I was sneaky, but no one noticed... The definition I gave for elimination of  $\exists^{\infty}$  is, a priori, more general than the definition usually considered since I allowed arbitrary finite tuples for x. But, as we will see, both definitions are equivalent

on *i*. Assume that  $<_j$  is built for all j < i. Since  $X_i$  is large enough, we can find some  $c_i \in X_i \setminus \bigcup_{j < i} A_j$ . Then  $<_i$  is the order on  $\{c_i\} \cup \bigcup_{j < i} A_j$  extending all the  $<_j$  and such that  $c_i$  is just above  $a_i$ . Let  $<_{\kappa} = \bigcup_{i < \kappa} <_i$ . We extend  $<_{\kappa}$  to a total order on N by choosing an order on  $N \setminus (\bigcup_{i < \kappa} A_i)$  and setting all these elements above the elements of  $\bigcup_{i < \kappa} A_i$ .

We now build an elementary chain  $(N_i)_{i\in\omega}$ , by induction on i, with  $N_0 = M$  and  $N_{i+1}$  built from  $N_i$  as above. Then  $N = \bigcup_i N_i \ge M$  and for any  $a < b \in N \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$  and infinite N-definable  $X \subseteq N$ , there exists an i such that  $a < b \in N_i \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$  and X is  $N_i$ -definable. But then, since  $N_{i+1} \ge N$ ,  $X \cap N_{i+1}$  is an infinite  $N_i$ -definable set and  $(a, b) \cap X \cap N_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$  by construction. So N has the required properties.

3. Let  $\mathcal{L}_{<}$  be  $\mathcal{L}$  with a new binary symbol <. Show that there exists an  $\mathcal{L}_{<}$ -theory  $T_{<}$  such that models of  $T_{<}$  are exactly the models of T where < is generic (with respect to the  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure of M).

**Solution:** For every  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\varphi(x,y)$ , where |x|=1, let  $k_{\varphi}$  be the bound given by elimination of  $\exists^{\infty}$  and  $\Psi_{\varphi}$  be the sentence  $\forall y (\exists^{\geqslant k_{\varphi}} x \ \varphi(x,y) \to (\forall a \forall b \ a < b \to (\exists x \ a < x \land x < b \land \varphi(x,y)) \land (\forall a \ (\exists x \ x < a \land \varphi(x,y)) \land (\exists x \ x > a \land \varphi(x,y))))$ . Then  $T_{<} = T \cup \{\Psi_{\varphi} : \varphi(x,y) \ \mathcal{L}$ -formula} has the required properties. Indeed,  $M \models T_{<}$  then  $M \models T$  and for every infinite  $X = \varphi(M,a)$ , where  $a \in M^{y}$ , then  $|X| \geqslant k_{\varphi}$  and hence, by  $\Psi_{\varphi}$ , X is dense in (M,<). Conversely, if  $M \models T$  has a generic order <, then for any formula  $\varphi(x,y)$  and  $a \in M^{y}$ , if  $|\varphi(M,a)| \geqslant k_{\varphi}$ , then  $\varphi(M,a)$  is infinite and hence, by genericity, intersects every open interval.

4. Show that  $T_{\leq}$  eliminates quantifiers.

**Solution:** Let  $M, N \vDash T_{<}, A \subseteq M, f: A \to N$  be a partial embedding and assume N is  $|A|^+$ -saturated. Pick any  $c \in M$ . If  $c \in \operatorname{acl}(A) = A$ , then f is already defined at a. Otherwise, for any  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\varphi(x, a)$ , where  $a \in A^y$ , if  $M \vDash \varphi(c, a)$ , then  $\varphi(M, a)$  is infinite. By quantifier elimination in T, it follows that  $\varphi(N, f(a))$  is also infinite. So it intersects any open interval. Then  $\pi(x) = \{\varphi(x, f(a)) : M \vDash \varphi(c, a), a \in A^y \text{ and } \varphi \text{ is an } \mathcal{L}\text{-formula}\} \cup \{x > f(a) : a \in A \text{ and } c < a\} \cup \{x > f(a) : a \in A \text{ and } c > a\}$  is finitely satisfiable. Here, we are using the fact that the intersection of  $\mathcal{L}(A)$ -definable sets containing c is still a  $\mathcal{L}(A)$ -definable set containing c, that f respects the order and that the non-empty intersection of open intervals is an open interval. Let d realize  $\pi$  in N, then f can be extended by sending c to d.

5. Show that  $T_{\leq}$  is complete.

**Solution:** Since the interpretation of any constant is in  $acl(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{L}$  does not have any constant. So  $T_{<}$  is a theory that eliminates quantifiers in a language without constants, so it is complete.

6. Let  $M \models T_{<}$  and  $A \subseteq M$ . Show that acl(A) = A (here, the algebraic closure is understood in M as an  $\mathcal{L}_{<}$ -structure).

**Solution:** Pick any  $b \in M \setminus A$ , then c is not algebraic over A in M as an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure, so any  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\varphi(x,a)$ , with  $a \in A^y$  and  $M \models \varphi(c,a)$ , is such that  $\varphi(M,a)$  is infinite. Assume M is  $|A|^+$ -saturated, let  $B \subseteq M$  be any countable set and let  $\pi(x) = \{\varphi(x,a) : M \models \varphi(c,a), a \in A^y \text{ and } \varphi \text{ is an } \mathcal{L}\text{-formula}\} \cup \{x > a : a \in A \text{ and } c < a\} \cup \{x > a : a \in A \text{ and } c > a\} \cup \{x \neq b : b \in B\}$ . As in the previous question,  $\pi$  is finitely satisfiable so it is satisfied in M. It follows from quantifier elimination in  $T_{<}$ , that any realisation of  $\pi$  has the same type as c over A and hence  $\operatorname{tp}(c/A)$  has infinitely many realizations in M, so  $a \notin \operatorname{acl}(A)$ .

7. Assume  $\mathcal{L}$  is countable. Show that  $T_{<}$  is  $\omega$ -categorical if and only if T is  $\omega$ -categorical.

Solution: Let us first assume that T is  $\omega$ -categorical and let  $M \models T_{<}$  be countable. Pick any finite  $A \subseteq M$  and  $p \in \mathcal{S}_x^M(A)$  where |x| = 1. Let  $a \in A$  be the maximal element of  $\{c \in A : "c < x" \in p\}$  — if this set is empty, let  $a = -\infty$  —,  $b \in A$  be the minimal element of  $\{c \in A : "x < c" \in p\}$  — if this set is empty, let  $b = +\infty$  — and let  $\varphi(x)$  be an  $\mathcal{L}(A)$ -formula isolating  $p|_{\mathcal{L}}$  — this formula exists because T is  $\omega$ -categorical and hence so is  $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{el}}(A)$ , by counting types. If  $\varphi(M)$  is finite, then any realization of p is in  $\mathrm{acl}(A) = A$  and hence p contains a formula of the form x = c; it is then obviously realized by c in M. If  $\varphi(M)$  is infinite, then, by density, we can find  $c \in \varphi(M) \cap (a,b)$ . Then,  $c \models p|_{\mathcal{L}}$  and for all  $d \in A$ , d < c if and only if "d < x"  $\in p$ . By quantifier elimination, it follows that  $c \models p$ . So every countable model of  $T_{<}$  is saturated and  $T_{<}$  is  $\omega$ -categorical.

If  $T < \text{is } \omega$ -categorical, let  $M \models T_<$  be countable and x be a finite tuples of variables. Then M realizes only finitely many  $\mathcal{L}_<$ -types in variables x, so  $M|_{\mathcal{L}} \models T$  realizes only finitely many  $\mathcal{L}$ -types in variables x. It follows that T is  $\omega$ -categorical.

## Problem 2:

Let T be a theory,  $\kappa > |\mathcal{L}|$ ,  $M \models T$  be  $\kappa$ -saturated, and  $X \subseteq M^x$  be  $\varnothing$ -definable. Consider the following statements.

- (i) Any M-definable set  $Y \subseteq X^n$  is X-definable.
- (ii) For all  $a \in M^z$ , there exists  $C \subseteq X$  such that  $|C| < \kappa$  and for all  $b \in M^z$ ,  $\operatorname{tp}^M(a/C) = \operatorname{tp}^M(b/C)$  implies  $\operatorname{tp}^M(a/X) = \operatorname{tp}^M(b/X)$ .
- (iii) For all  $a, b \in M^z$ ,  $\operatorname{tp}^{M^{eq}}(a/C) = \operatorname{tp}^{M^{eq}}(b/C)$  implies  $\operatorname{tp}^M(a/X) = \operatorname{tp}^M(b/X)$ , where  $C = \operatorname{dcl}^{eq}(a) \cap \operatorname{dcl}^{eq}(X)$ .
  - 1. Show that (i) implies (iii).

**Solution:** Let  $a \in M^z$  and  $\varphi(t,z)$  be some formula, where t is a tuple of n variables sorted like x. The a-definable set  $Y = \varphi(M,a) \cap X^n$  is also X-definable by (i). So  ${}^rY \subseteq \operatorname{dcl^{eq}}(a) \cap \operatorname{dcl^{eq}}(X) = C$  and hence Y is C-definable (in  $M^{eq}$ ). It follows that the formula  $\forall t \ (t \in Y \leftrightarrow \varphi(z,t) \land t \in X^n)$  is a formula in  $\operatorname{tp}^{M^{eq}}(a/C)$ , so if  $\operatorname{tp}^{M^{eq}}(b/C) = \operatorname{tp}^{M^{eq}}(a/C)$ ,  $\varphi(b,M) \cap X^n = Y$  and for all  $m \in X^n$ ,  $M \models \varphi(a,m)$  if and only if  $M \models \varphi(b,m)$ . Since this holds for every formula  $\varphi$ ,  $\operatorname{tp}^M(a/X) = \operatorname{tp}^M(b/X)$ .

2. Assume that (i) does not hold. Show that there exists  $a \in M^x$  and a formula  $\varphi(t,y)$  such that, for any  $C \subseteq X$  with  $|C| < \kappa$ , there exists  $b_1, b_2 \in X^n$  with  $\operatorname{tp}(b_1/C) = \operatorname{tp}(b_2/C)$ ,  $M \vDash \varphi(a, b_1)$  and  $M \vDash \neg \varphi(a, b_2)$ .

**Solution:** If (i) does not hold, there exists  $\varphi(t,z)$  and  $a \in M^z$ , such that  $\varphi(M,a) \cap X^n$  is not X-definable. Pick any  $C \subseteq X$  with  $|C| < \kappa$  and  $\psi(t)$  an  $\mathcal{L}^{eq}(C)$ -formula. If for all  $b_1, b_2 \in X^n$ ,  $M \vDash (\psi(b_1) \leftrightarrow \psi(b_2)) \rightarrow (\varphi(b_1, a) \rightarrow \varphi(b_2, a))$ , then, since  $\varphi(M,a) \cap X^n$  is neither  $X^n$  nor  $\emptyset$  that are both X-definable,  $\psi(M) = \varphi(M,a) \cap X^n$  or  $\neg \psi(M) = \varphi(M,a) \cap X^n$ , a contradiction. It follows that there exists  $b_1, b_2 \in X^n$  such that  $M \vDash (\psi(b_1) \leftrightarrow \psi(b_2)) \wedge \varphi(b_1, a) \wedge \neg \varphi(a, b_2)$ . By compactness, there exists  $b_1, b_2 \in X^n$  such that  $M \vDash \varphi(b_1, a) \wedge \neg \varphi(a, b_2)$  and for all  $\mathcal{L}(C)$ -formula  $\psi(t)$ ,  $M \vDash \psi(b_1) \leftrightarrow \psi(b_2)$  — i.e.  $\operatorname{tp}(b_1/C) = \operatorname{tp}(b_2/C)$ .

3. Show that (i), (ii) and (iii) are equivalent.

**Solution:** We have shown that (i) implies (iii) in question 2.1. If (i) fails, let a and  $\varphi(t,z)$  be as in 2.2. and for any choice of  $C \subseteq X^n$ , let  $b_1,b_2$  be as in 2.2. By  $\kappa$ -saturation, we find  $a' \in M^z$  such that  $\operatorname{tp}(ab_1/C) = \operatorname{tp}(a'b_2/C)$ . But then  $\operatorname{tp}(a_1/C) = \operatorname{tp}(a_2/C)$  and  $M \models \neg \varphi(a,b_2) \land \varphi(a',b_2)$  — i.e.  $\operatorname{tp}(a/X) \neg \operatorname{tp}(a'/X)$ . So (ii) fails.

There remains to prove that (iii) implies (ii). Let us assume (iii) holds. Pick any  $a \in M^z$  and let  $C = \operatorname{dcl}^{\operatorname{eq}}(a) \cap \operatorname{dcl}^{\operatorname{eq}}(X)$ . We can find  $D \subseteq M$  with |D| = |C| and  $C \subseteq \operatorname{dcl}^{\operatorname{eq}}(D)$ . Indeed, any  $c \in C$  lives in a sort  $S_{\varphi,y}$  for some formula  $\varphi(x,y)$  and, by surjectivity,  $c = f_{\varphi,y}(d)$  for some  $d \in M^y$ . If  $\operatorname{tp}(a/D) = \operatorname{tp}(b/D)$ , then  $\operatorname{tp}^{M^{\operatorname{eq}}}(a/C) = \operatorname{tp}^{M^{\operatorname{eq}}}(b/C)$ , and hence, by (ii)  $\operatorname{tp}(a/X) = \operatorname{tp}(b/X)$ .

4. Assume (i). Let  $N \ge M$ ,  $a \in N^z$  and  $X(N) := \psi(N)$  for any formula  $\psi$  such that  $X = \psi(M)$ . Show that  $\operatorname{tp}(a/X)$  is realized in M if and only if  $\operatorname{dcl}^{\operatorname{eq}}(a) \cap \operatorname{dcl}^{\operatorname{eq}}(X(N)) \subseteq M^{\operatorname{eq}}$ .

**Solution:** First, let us show that X(N) has (i) in N. Fix a formula  $\varphi(t,z)$  where t is a tuple of n variables sorted like x and let  $\Sigma(z) = \{ \forall s \ s \in X^m \to (\exists tt \in X^n \land \neg(\varphi(t,z) \leftrightarrow \chi(t,s))) : \chi \mathcal{L}\text{-formula} \}$ . By (i),  $\Sigma$  is not satisfiable in M and hence, by  $\kappa$ -saturation, it is not finitely satisfiable. So there exists  $\chi_i$  for  $i \leq n$  such that for all  $a \in M^z$ ,  $\varphi(M,a) \cap \psi(M)^n = \chi_i(M,b)$  for some  $b \in X^m$ . This is a first order statement so it also holds in N and hence (i) holds of X(N) in N.

If  $\operatorname{tp}(a/X)$  is realized by some  $b \in M^z$ , then for every  $c \in \operatorname{dcl}^{\operatorname{eq}}(b) \cap \operatorname{dcl}^{\operatorname{eq}}(X)$ , there exists  $\mathcal{L}^{\operatorname{eq}}$ -definable maps f and g and  $d \in X^n$  such that f(b) = g(d), but this formula is in  $\operatorname{tp}(b/X)$ , so it also holds of a and  $\operatorname{dcl}^{\operatorname{eq}}(a) \cap \operatorname{dcl}^{\operatorname{eq}}(X(N)) = \operatorname{dcl}^{\operatorname{eq}}(b) \cap \operatorname{dcl}^{\operatorname{eq}}(X) \subseteq M^{\operatorname{eq}}$ . Conversely, if  $C := \operatorname{dcl}^{\operatorname{eq}}(a) \cap \operatorname{dcl}^{\operatorname{eq}}(X(N)) \subseteq M^{\operatorname{eq}}$ , let  $b \in M$  realize  $\operatorname{tp}(a/C)$ . By (iii) we have  $\operatorname{tp}(b/X) = \operatorname{tp}(a/X)$  which is therefore realized in M.

5. Assume (i) and M is saturated (and  $|M| > |\mathcal{L}|$ ). Let  $a, b \in M^z$  be such that  $\operatorname{tp}(a/X) = \operatorname{tp}(b/X)$ . Show that there exists  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(M/X) = \{\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(M) : \sigma|_X = \operatorname{id}\}$  such that  $\sigma(a) = b$ .

**Solution:** Let us show that the set I of partial elementary embedding from M to M whose domain is of the form  $A \cup X$  where |A| < |M| and whose restriction to X is the identity, has the back and forth. It is obviously non-empty as it contains the identity on X. Now, pick any  $f \in I$ , with domain  $A \cup X$  and  $c \in M$ . let  $p := tp(c/A \cup X)$  and let  $q := f_*p \in \mathcal{S}_x(f(A) \cup X)$ . Note that  $dcl^{eq}(f(A) \cup X)$  is the image, by (the unique extension of) f (to  $dcleq(A \cup X)$ ), of  $dcl^{eq}(A \cup X)$ . Hence, for any  $d \models q$  in  $N \geqslant M$ ,  $dcl^{eq}(f(A) \cup d) \cap dcl^{eq}(f(A) \cup X(N)) \subseteq dcl^{eq}(f(A) \cup X) \subseteq M^{eq}$ . Applying the previous question in M as a model of  $\mathcal{D}^{el}(f(A))$ , we find  $d \models q$  in M and we can extend f. The other direction is symmetric.

Since  $\operatorname{tp}(a/X) = \operatorname{tp}(b/X)$ , the map fixing X and sending a to b is an element of I. Let  $\{m_{\alpha} : \alpha \in |M|\} = M$ . Using back and forth, we build, by induction, a coherent system of partial elementary embeddings  $f_{\alpha}$  and  $g_{\alpha}$  extending f, such that  $m_{\alpha}$  is in the domain of  $f_{\alpha}$  and in the image of  $g_{\alpha}$ . The union of all these partial elementary embeddings is an isomorphism of M, fixing X pointwise and sending a to b.