

Imaginaries in valued fields with operators

Silvain Rideau

UC Berkeley

April 15 2016

Valued fields

Let (K, v) be a valued field:

- ▶ $\Gamma = v(K)$ its value group;
- ▶ $\mathcal{O} = \{x \in K : v(x) \geq 0\}$ its valuation ring;
- ▶ $\mathfrak{M} = \{x \in K : v(x) > 0\}$ its maximal ideal;
- ▶ $k = \mathcal{O} / \mathfrak{M}$ its residue field.

Example (Hahn series field, Witt vectors)

- ▶ Let k be a field and Γ be an ordered Abelian group:

$$k((t^\Gamma)) = \left\{ \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} c_\gamma t^\gamma : \text{well-ordered support} \right\}.$$

- ▶ Let k be a perfect characteristic $p > 0$ field.

$$W(k) = \left\{ \sum_{i \geq i_0} a_i^{p^{-i}} p^i \right\}.$$

It is the unique complete, rank 1, mixed characteristic valued field whose residue field is k .

Operators

On a field K we consider:

- ▶ Automorphisms (of the field).
- ▶ Derivations: an additive morphism $\partial : K \rightarrow K$ that verifies the Leibniz rule:

$$\partial(xy) = \partial(x)y + x\partial(y).$$

- ▶ (Iterative) Hasse derivations: a collection $(\partial_n)_{n \leq 0}$ of additive morphisms $K \rightarrow K$ that verify
 - ▶ $D_0(x) = x$;
 - ▶ The generalised Leibniz rule:

$$\partial_n(xy) = \sum_{i+j=n} \partial_i(x)\partial_j(y);$$

- ▶ $D_n(D_m(x)) = \binom{m+n}{n} \partial_{m+n}(x)$

Operators

Example (Automorphisms)

- ▶ $(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{alg}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$.
- ▶ Ultraproducts of the above.

Example (Derivations)

- ▶ Meromorphic functions on some open subset of \mathbb{C} .
- ▶ Germs at $+\infty$ of infinitely differentiable real functions.
- ▶ For (k, ∂) a differential field, $k((t^\Gamma))$ with $\partial(\sum_\gamma c_\gamma t^\gamma) = \sum_\gamma \partial(c_\gamma) t^\gamma$.

Example (Hasse Derivations)

- ▶ Let K be a characteristic $p > 0$ field and $(b_i)_{i \in I}$ a p -basis of K . There exists a Hasse derivation ∂_i on K such that $\partial_{i,1}(b_i) = 1$ and $\partial_{i,n}(b_j) = 0$ otherwise.

Valued fields with operators

We want to consider fields with both structures.

- ▶ You can either not assume any interaction:
 - ▶ Separably closed valued fields (Delon, Hong, Hils-Kamensky-R.);
 - ▶ Differentially closed valued fields (Michaux, Guzy-Point);
- ▶ Or force some level of interaction:
 - ▶ Contractive derivations: $v(D(x)) \geq v(x)$ (Scanlon, R.);
 - ▶ Valued field automorphism: $\sigma(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}$ (Bélair-Macintyre-Scanlon, Durhan-van den Dries, Hrushovski, Pal, Durhan-Onay).

We will only consider existentially closed fields with operators.

- ▶ A priori, this rules out transseries.
- ▶ Actually, we will only need a certain form of quantifier elimination.

Contractive derivations

In $\mathcal{L}_{\partial, \text{div}} := \{\mathbf{K}; 0, 1, +, -, \cdot, \partial, \text{div}\}$:

Theorem (Scanlon, 2000)

The theory of equicharacteristic zero valued fields with a contractive derivation has a model completion $\text{VDF}_{\mathcal{EC}}$ which is complete and eliminates quantifiers.

The theory $\text{VDF}_{\mathcal{EC}}$ contains:

- ▶ The field is ∂ -Henselian;
- ▶ $v(\mathbf{C}_K) = v(K)$ where $\mathbf{C}_K = \{x \in K : \partial(x) = 0\}$;
- ▶ The residue field is differentially closed;
- ▶ The value group is divisible.

Example

If (k, ∂) is differentially closed and Γ is divisible, then $k((t^\Gamma)) \models \text{VDF}_{\mathcal{EC}}$.

Separably closed fields

- ▶ Let e be a positive integer.
- ▶ Let K be a characteristic $p > 0$ field with e commuting Hasse derivations $\partial_i: \partial_{i,n} \circ \partial_{j,m} = \partial_{j,m} \circ \partial_{i,n}$.
- ▶ The field K is strict if $C_K^1 := \{x \in K : \forall i, \partial_{i,1}(x) = 0\} = K^p$.

In $\mathcal{L}_{e,\text{div}} := \{\mathbf{K}; 0, 1, +, -, \cdot, (\partial_{i,n})_{0 \leq i < e, 0 \leq n}, \text{div}\}$:

Theorem

The theory $\text{SCVH}_{p,e}$ of characteristic $p > 0$ strict separably closed valued fields with e commuting Hasse derivations such that $[K : K^p] = p^e$ is complete and eliminates quantifiers.

Let $K \models \text{SCVH}_{p,e}$:

- ▶ $v(K)$ is divisible and $k(K)$ is algebraically closed;
- ▶ K is dense in $\overline{K}^{\text{alg}}$.

Imaginaries

An imaginary is an equivalent class of an \emptyset -definable equivalence relation.

Example

- ▶ Let $(X_y)_{y \in Y}$ be an \emptyset -definable family of sets.
 - ▶ Define $y_1 \equiv y_2$ whenever $X_{y_1} = X_{y_2}$.
 - ▶ The set Y/\equiv is a moduli space for the family $(X_y)_{y \in Y}$.
 - ▶ The imaginary $\ulcorner X_y \urcorner := y/\equiv$ is the canonical parameter of X_y .
- ▶ Let G be a definable group and $H \trianglelefteq G$ be a subgroup. The group G/H is interpretable but *a priori* not definable.

Definition

A theory T eliminates imaginaries if for all \emptyset -definable equivalence relation $E \subseteq D^2$, there exists an \emptyset -definable function f defined on D such that for all $x, y \in D$:

$$xEy \iff f(x) = f(y).$$

Shelah's eq construction

Definition

Let T be a theory. For all \emptyset -definable equivalence relation $E \subseteq \prod_i S_i$, let S_E be a new sort and $f_E : \prod S_i \rightarrow S_E$ be a new function symbol. Let

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{eq}} := \mathcal{L} \cup \{S_E, f_E : E \text{ is an } \emptyset\text{-definable equivalence relation}\}$$

and

$$T^{\text{eq}} := T \cup \{f_E \text{ is onto and } \forall x, y (f_E(x) = f_E(y) \leftrightarrow xEy)\}.$$

Remark

- ▶ Let $M \models T$, then M can naturally be enriched into a model of T^{eq} that we denote M^{eq} .
- ▶ The theory T^{eq} eliminates imaginaries.

Imaginaries in fields

Theorem (Poizat, 1983)

The theory of algebraically closed fields in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{rg}} := \{\mathbf{K}; 0, 1, +, -, \cdot\}$ and the theory of differentially closed fields in $\mathcal{L}_{\partial} := \mathcal{L}_{\text{rg}} \cup \{\partial\}$ both eliminate imaginaries.

One cannot hope for such a theorem to hold for algebraically closed valued fields in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{div}} := \mathcal{L}_{\text{rg}} \cup \{\text{div}\}$. Indeed,

- ▶ $K = \mathbb{C}((t^{\mathbb{Q}})) \models \text{ACVF}$;
- ▶ $\mathbb{Q} = K^* / \mathcal{O}^*$ is both interpretable and countable;
- ▶ All definable set $X \subseteq K^n$ are either finite or have cardinality continuum.

Imaginariness in valued fields

Let (K, v) be a valued field, we define:

- ▶ $\mathbf{S}_n := \mathrm{GL}_n(K) / \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$.
 - ▶ It is the moduli space of rank n free \mathcal{O} -submodules of K^n .
- ▶ $\mathbf{T}_n := \mathrm{GL}_n(K) / \mathrm{GL}_{n,n}(\mathcal{O})$
 - ▶ $\mathrm{GL}_{n,n}(\mathcal{O})$ consists of the matrices $M \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$ whose reduct modulo \mathfrak{M} has only zeroes on the last column but for a 1 in the last entry.
 - ▶ It is the moduli space of $\bigcup_{s \in \mathbf{S}_n} s / \mathfrak{M}s = \{a + \mathfrak{M}s : s \in \mathbf{S}_n \text{ and } a \in s\}$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}} := \{\mathbf{K}, (\mathbf{S}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}}, (\mathbf{T}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}}; \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{div}}, \sigma_n : \mathbf{K}^{n^2} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_n, \tau_n : \mathbf{K}^{n^2} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_n\}$.

Theorem (Haskell-Hrushovski-Macpherson, 2006)

The $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -theory of algebraically closed valued fields eliminates imaginaries.

Imaginaries and definable types

Proposition (Hrushovski, 2014)

Let T be a theory such that, for all $A = \text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(A) \subseteq M^{\text{eq}} \models T^{\text{eq}}$:

1. Any $\mathcal{L}^{\text{eq}}(A)$ -definable set is consistent with an $\mathcal{L}^{\text{eq}}(A)$ -definable type.
2. Any $\mathcal{L}^{\text{eq}}(A)$ -definable type p is $\mathcal{L}(A \cap M)$ -definable.
3. Finite sets have canonical parameters.

Then T eliminates imaginaries.

Remark

It suffices to prove hypothesis 1 in dimension 1.

An aside: the invariant extension property

Definition

We say that T has the invariant extension property if for all $M \models T$ and $A = \text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(A) \subseteq M^{\text{eq}}$, every type over A has a global A -invariant extension.

Proposition

The following are equivalent:

- (i) The theory T has the invariant extension property.
- (ii) For all $A = \text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(A) \subseteq M^{\text{eq}} \models T^{\text{eq}}$, any $\mathcal{L}^{\text{eq}}(A)$ -definable set is consistent with an $\mathcal{L}^{\text{eq}}(A)$ -definable type.

Remark

If T is NIP then the above are also equivalent to:

- (iii)
 - ▶ Forking equals dividing
 - ▶ Lascar strong type, Kim-Pillay strong type and strong type coincide.

Differentially closed and separably closed fields

Let T be either the theory of characteristic zero differentially closed fields or the theory of strict characteristic $p > 0$ separably closed fields with e commuting Hasse derivations such that $[K : K^p] = p^e$.

- ▶ Hypothesis 1 is true by stability
- ▶ Hypothesis 3 is true because it is true in algebraically closed fields.
- ▶ As for Hypothesis 2:
 - ▶ Let $A = \text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(A) \subseteq M^{\text{eq}} \models T^{\text{eq}}$ and $p(x)$ be an $\mathcal{L}(A)$ -definable type.
 - ▶ Let $\partial_\omega(x)$ denote either $(\partial^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ or $(\partial_{0,i_0} \circ \dots \circ \partial_{e-1,i_{e-1}}(x))_{i_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$.
 - ▶ Let $a \models p$ and $q = \text{tp}_{\mathcal{L}_{\text{rg}}}(a/M)$.
 - ▶ By elimination of imaginaries in ACF, q is $\mathcal{L}_{\text{rg}}(A \cap M)$ -definable.
 - ▶ So p is $\mathcal{L}(A \cap M)$ -definable.

Prolongations

Let \mathcal{L} be either $\mathcal{L}_{\partial, \text{div}}$ or $\mathcal{L}_{e, \text{div}}$ and T denote either $\text{VDF}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{C}}$ or $\text{SCVH}_{p, e}$.

- ▶ Let $M \models T$. For all $p \in \mathcal{S}_x^{\mathcal{L}}(M)$, we define:

$$\nabla_{\omega}(p) := \{\phi(x_{\omega}; m) : \phi(\partial_{\omega}(x); m) \in p\} \in \mathcal{S}_{x_{\omega}}^{\mathcal{L}^{\text{div}}}(M).$$

- ▶ By quantifier elimination, the map ∇_{ω} is injective.
- ▶ Let $A = \text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(A) \subseteq M^{\text{eq}} \models T^{\text{eq}}$,

p is consistent with $X \iff \nabla_{\omega}(p)$ is consistent with $\partial_{\omega}(X)$;

p is $\mathcal{L}^{\text{eq}}(A)$ -definable $\iff \nabla_{\omega}(p)$ is $\mathcal{L}^{\text{eq}}(A)$ -definable.

Hypothesis 1 and 2 (almost) reduce to questions about ACVF.

- ▶ The defining scheme of p consists of $\mathcal{L}(M)$ -formulas and not $\mathcal{L}_{\text{div}}(M)$ formulas.

Proving Hypothesis I

It is proved by a technical construction.

- ▶ Given an enrichment T of ACVF in a language \mathcal{L} , such that k and Γ eliminate imaginaries,
- ▶ A set $A = \text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(A) \subseteq M^{\text{eq}} \models T^{\text{eq}}$,
- ▶ An $\mathcal{L}^{\text{eq}}(A)$ -definable set X ,
- ▶ A finite set Δ of \mathcal{L}_{div} -formulas,
- ▶ We find an $\mathcal{L}^{\text{eq}}(A)$ -definable Δ -type p consistent with X .

Definable types in enrichments of NIP theories

Definition (Uniform stable embeddedness)

Let M be some structure and $A \subseteq M$. We say that A is uniformly stably embedded in M if for all formula $\phi(x; y)$ there exists a formula $\psi(x; z)$ such that for all tuple $c \in M$,

$$\phi(A; c) = \psi(A; a)$$

for some tuple $a \in A$.

Proposition (Simon-R.)

Let T be an NIP be an \mathcal{L} -theory and \tilde{T} be a complete enrichment of T in a language $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Assume that there exists $M \models \tilde{T}$ such that $M|_{\mathcal{L}}$ is uniformly stably embedded in every elementary extension.

Let X be a set that is both externally \mathcal{L} -definable and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -definable, then X is \mathcal{L} -definable.

In particular, any \mathcal{L} -type which is $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -definable is in fact \mathcal{L} -definable.

Externally definable sets in NIP theories

Proposition (Simon-R.)

Let T be an NIP \mathcal{L} -theory, $U(x)$ be a new predicate and $\phi(x; t) \in \mathcal{L}$. There exists $\psi(x; s) \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_U$ a sentence such that for all $M \models T$ and $U \subseteq M^{|x|}$ we have:

U is externally ϕ -definable $\Rightarrow M_U \models \theta_U \Rightarrow U$ is externally ψ -definable.

- ▶ It follows that (a uniform version of) the previous proposition's conclusion is a first order statement.
- ▶ Hence it suffices to find one model of T where it holds (uniformly enough); for example, a model where all externally \mathcal{L} -definable sets are \mathcal{L} -definable.
- ▶ If we are looking at $T = \text{ACVF}$, then models of the form $k((t^{\mathbb{R}}))$ have this property.

Imaginarities in $\text{VDF}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{C}}$

Let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},\partial} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}} \cup \{\partial\}$ and $\text{VDF}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ the enrichment of $\text{VDF}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{C}}$ to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Theorem

The theory $\text{VDF}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ eliminates imaginaries.

Proof.

Apply the criterion. □

Imaginaries in $\text{SCVH}_{p,e}$

Let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G},p,e} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}} \cup \{\partial_{i,n} : 0 \leq i < e \text{ and } n \geq 0\}$ and $\text{SCVH}_{p,e}^{\mathcal{G}}$ the enrichment of $\text{SCVH}_{p,e}$ to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Theorem

The theory $\text{SCVH}_{p,e}^{\mathcal{G}}$ eliminates imaginaries.

Proof.

Applying the criterion requires to understand the pair $(\bar{K}^{\text{alg}}, K)$ where $K \models \text{SCVH}_{p,e}$. One can prove a quantifier elimination result for this structure by improving certain results of Delon. □

Other examples

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{F}_{p,q} := (\overline{\mathbb{F}_p((t))}^{\text{alg}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$. We can consider

$$\prod_p \mathcal{F}_{p,p} / \mathfrak{U} \text{ or } \prod_q \mathcal{F}_{p,q} / \mathfrak{U}.$$

- ▶ $\mathbb{W}_{p,q} := (\mathbb{W}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{alg}}), \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_q))$ and their ultraproducts.

The main issue is that definable types are not dense in these structure, so one has to find another approach, probably using invariant types.

Thanks!