

# Imaginaries and definable types in valued differential fields

Silvain Rideau

Université Paris-Sud, École Normale Supérieure

August 7 2015

# Valued fields

Let  $(K, v)$  be a valued field. We will denote by:

- ▶  $\mathcal{O} = \{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq 0\}$  its valuation ring;
- ▶  $\mathfrak{M} = \{x \in K \mid v(x) > 0\}$  its maximal ideal;
- ▶  $k = \mathcal{O} / \mathfrak{M}$  its residue field.

## Definition (Hahn series field)

Let  $k$  be a field and  $\Gamma$  be an ordered abelian group. The field  $k((t^\Gamma))$  consists of the formal power series  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} c_\gamma t^\gamma$  with coefficients in  $k$  whose support  $\{\gamma \mid c_\gamma \neq 0\}$  is well ordered.

# Differential fields

## Definition (Differential field)

A derivation on a field  $K$  is a group endomorphism  $\partial$  of  $(K, +)$  such that for all  $x, y \in K$ :

$$\partial(xy) = \partial(x)y + x\partial(y).$$

## Example

- ▶ The field of meromorphic functions on some open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  with the usual derivation.
- ▶ The field of germs at  $+\infty$  of infinitely differentiable real functions with the usual derivation.
- ▶ If  $(k, \partial)$  is a differential field,  $k((t^\Gamma))$  can be made naturally into a differential field by setting  $\partial(\sum_\gamma c_\gamma t^\gamma) = \sum_\gamma \partial(c_\gamma) t^\gamma$ .

# Valued differential fields

We want to study fields equipped with both a valuation and a derivation. Three classes of such fields have been studied:

- ▶ Fields where there is no interaction between the valuation and the derivation (Michaux, Guzy-Point).
- ▶ Hardy fields, field of transseries and more generally H-fields (Aschenbrenner-van den Dries-van der Hoeven).
- ▶ Valued fields with a contractive derivation, i.e. a derivation  $\partial$  such that:

$$\forall x, y \in K \quad v(\partial(x)) \geq v(x).$$

## Some model theory

We work in the language  $\mathcal{L}_{\partial, \text{div}} := \{\mathbf{K}; 0, 1, +, -, \cdot, \partial, \text{div}\}$  where  $x \text{ div } y$  is interpreted as  $v(x) \leq v(y)$ .

### Theorem (Scanlon, 2000)

The theory of equicharacteristic zero valued fields with a contractive derivation has a model completion  $\text{VDF}_{\mathcal{EC}}$  which is complete and eliminates quantifiers.

The theory  $\text{VDF}_{\mathcal{EC}}$  is the theory of valued fields with a contractive derivation such that:

- ▶ The field is  $\partial$ -Henselian;
- ▶ The value group of the constant field is equal to the value group of the whole field;
- ▶ The residue field is differentially closed;
- ▶ The value group is divisible.

### Example

If  $(k, \partial)$  is differentially closed and  $\Gamma$  is divisible, then  $k((t^\Gamma)) \models \text{VDF}_{\mathcal{EC}}$ .

# Imaginaries

An imaginary is an equivalent class of an  $\emptyset$ -definable equivalence relation.

## Example

- ▶ Let  $(X_y)_{y \in Y}$  be an  $\emptyset$ -definable family of sets. Define  $y_1 \equiv y_2$  whenever  $X_{y_1} = X_{y_2}$ . The set  $Y/\equiv$  is a moduli space for the family  $(X_y)_{y \in Y}$ . We say that  $\ulcorner X_y \urcorner := y/\equiv$  is the canonical parameter of  $X_y$ .
- ▶ Let  $p(x)$  be a definable type. Then  $\{\ulcorner d_p x \phi(x; y) \urcorner \mid \phi(x; y) \in \mathcal{L}\}$  is called the canonical basis of  $p$ .
- ▶ Let  $G$  be a definable group and  $H \trianglelefteq G$  be a subgroup. The group  $G/H$  is interpretable but *a priori* not definable.

## Definition

A theory  $T$  eliminates imaginaries if for all  $\emptyset$ -definable equivalence relation  $E \subseteq D^2$ , there exists an  $\emptyset$ -definable function  $f$  defined on  $D$  such that for all  $x, y \in D$ :

$$xEy \iff f(x) = f(y).$$

# Shelah's eq construction

## Definition

Let  $T$  be a theory. For all  $\emptyset$ -definable equivalence relation  $E \subseteq \prod_i S_i$ , let  $S_E$  be a new sort and  $f_E : \prod S_i \rightarrow S_E$  be a new function symbol. Let

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{eq}} := \mathcal{L} \cup \{S_E, f_E \mid E \text{ is an } \emptyset\text{-definable equivalence relation}\}$$

and

$$T^{\text{eq}} := T \cup \{f_E \text{ is onto and } \forall x, y (f_E(x) = f_E(y) \leftrightarrow xEy)\}.$$

## Remark

- ▶ Let  $M \models T$ , then  $M$  can naturally be enriched into a model of  $T^{\text{eq}}$  that we denote  $M^{\text{eq}}$ .
- ▶ We will denote by  $\mathcal{R}$  the set of  $\mathcal{L}$ -sorts. They are called the real sorts.
- ▶ The theory  $T^{\text{eq}}$  eliminates imaginaries.

# Imaginaries in fields

## Theorem (Poizat, 1983)

The theory of algebraically closed fields in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{rg}} := \{\mathbf{K}; 0, 1, +, -, \cdot\}$  and the theory of differentially closed fields in  $\mathcal{L}_{\partial} := \mathcal{L}_{\text{rg}} \cup \{\partial\}$  both eliminate imaginaries.

One cannot hope for such a theorem to hold for algebraically closed valued fields in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{div}} := \mathcal{L}_{\text{rg}} \cup \{\text{div}\}$ . Indeed,

- ▶  $K = \mathbb{C}((t^{\mathbb{Q}})) \models \text{ACVF}$ ;
- ▶  $\mathbb{Q} = K^* / \mathcal{O}^*$  is both interpretable and countable;
- ▶ All definable set  $X \subseteq K^n$  are either finite or have cardinality continuum.

# Imaginaries in valued fields

Let  $(K, v)$  be a valued field, we define:

- ▶  $\mathbf{S}_n := \mathrm{GL}_n(K) / \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$ .

It is the moduli space of rank  $n$  free  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodules of  $K^n$ .

- ▶  $\mathbf{T}_n := \mathrm{GL}_n(K) / \mathrm{GL}_{n,n}(\mathcal{O})$  where  $\mathrm{GL}_{n,n}(\mathcal{O})$  consists of the matrices  $M \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$  whose reduct modulo  $\mathfrak{M}$  has only zeroes on the last column but for a 1 in the last entry.

It is the moduli space of  $\bigcup_{s \in \mathbf{S}_n} s / \mathfrak{M}s = \{a + \mathfrak{M}s \mid s \in \mathbf{S}_n \text{ and } a \in s\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}} := \{\mathbf{K}, (\mathbf{S}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}}, (\mathbf{T}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}}; \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{div}}, \sigma_n : \mathbf{K}^{n^2} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_n, \tau_n : \mathbf{K}^{n^2} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_n\}$ .

**Theorem (Haskell-Hrushovski-Macpherson, 2006)**

The  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}}$ -theory of algebraically closed valued fields eliminates imaginaries.

**Question**

What about  $\mathrm{VDF}_{\mathcal{EC}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ ?

# Imaginaries and definable types

## Proposition (Hrushovski, 2014)

Let  $T$  be a theory such that:

1. For all  $A = \text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(A) \subseteq M^{\text{eq}} \models T^{\text{eq}}$  and all  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{eq}}(A)$ -definable type  $p$ , then  $p$  is in fact  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}(A))$ -definable.
2. For all set  $X$  definable with parameters there exist an  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{eq}}(\text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(\ulcorner X \urcorner))$ -definable type  $p$  which is consistent with  $X$ .
3. Finite sets have real canonical parameters.

Then  $T$  eliminates imaginaries.

## Remark

It suffices to prove hypothesis 1 in dimension 1.

# An aside: the invariant extension property

## Definition

We say that  $T$  has the invariant extension property if for all  $M \models T$  and  $A = \text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(A) \subseteq M^{\text{eq}}$ , every type over  $A$  has a global  $A$ -invariant extension.

## Proposition

The following are equivalent:

- (i) The theory  $T$  has the invariant extension property.
- (ii) For all set  $X$  definable with parameters there exists an  $\text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(\ulcorner X \urcorner)$ -invariant type  $p$  which is consistent with  $X$ .

## Remark

If  $T$  is NIP then the above are also equivalent to:

- (iii) Forking equals dividing and Lascar strong type, Kim-Pillay strong type and strong type coincide.

# Computing the canonical basis of types in $\text{DCF}_0$

- ▶ Let  $p(x)$  be an  $\mathcal{L}_\partial$ -type over  $M \models \text{DCF}_0$  and let  $\nabla_\omega(p)$  denote the  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{rg}}$ -type of  $(\partial^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  over  $M$ .
- ▶ By quantifier elimination, the map  $\nabla_\omega$  is injective. So we can identify  $\mathcal{S}_x^{\mathcal{L}_\partial}(M)$  with a subset of  $\mathcal{S}_{x_\omega}^{\mathcal{L}_{\text{rg}}}(M)$ .
- ▶ Let  $A = \text{acl}^{\text{eq}}(A) \subseteq M^{\text{eq}}$  and assume  $p$  is  $\mathcal{L}_\partial^{\text{eq}}(A)$ -definable. By elimination of imaginaries in ACF, the canonical basis of  $\nabla_\omega(p)$  is contained in  $\mathbf{K}(A)$ . In particular,  $p$  is  $\mathcal{L}_\partial(\mathbf{K}(A))$ -definable.

# Computing the canonical basis of definable types in $\text{VDF}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{C}}$

- ▶ Let  $p(x)$  be an  $\mathcal{L}_{\partial, \text{div}}$ -type over  $M \models \text{VDF}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{C}}$  and let  $\nabla_{\omega}(p)$  denote the  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{div}}$ -type of  $(\partial^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  over  $M$ .
- ▶ By quantifier elimination, the map  $\nabla_{\omega}$  is injective. So we can identify  $\mathcal{S}_x^{\mathcal{L}_{\partial, \text{div}}}(M)$  with a subset of  $\mathcal{S}_{x_{\omega}}^{\mathcal{L}_{\text{div}}}(M)$ .
- ▶ One issue: if  $p(x)$  is  $\mathcal{L}_{\partial, \text{div}}(M)$ -definable, then  $\nabla_{\omega}(p)$  might not be  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{div}}(M)$ -definable as its definition scheme is given by  $\mathcal{L}_{\partial, \text{div}}$ -formulas.
- ▶ Let  $\phi(x_{\omega}; y)$  be an  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{div}}$ -formula then and  $a \models \nabla_{\omega}(p)$  we have:

$$\underbrace{\phi(a; M)}_{\text{externally } \mathcal{L}_{\text{div}}\text{-definable}} = \underbrace{\text{d}_p x \phi(x, \partial(x), \dots, \partial^n(x); M)}_{\mathcal{L}_{\partial, \text{div}}\text{-definable}}$$

## Question

Let  $X$  be a set that is both externally  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{div}}$ -definable and  $\mathcal{L}_{\partial, \text{div}}$ -definable (with parameters). Is it automatically  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{div}}$ -definable (with parameters)?

# Definable types in enrichments of NIP theories

## Definition (Uniform stable embeddedness)

Let  $M$  be some structure and  $A \subseteq M$ . We say that  $A$  is uniformly stably embedded in  $M$  if for all formula  $\phi(x; y)$  there exists a formula  $\psi(x; z)$  such that for all tuple  $c \in M$ ,

$$\phi(A; c) = \psi(A; a)$$

for some tuple  $a \in A$ .

## Proposition (Simon-R.)

Let  $T$  be an NIP be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory and  $\tilde{T}$  be a complete enrichment of  $T$  in a language  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ . Assume that there exists  $M \models \tilde{T}$  such that  $M|_{\mathcal{L}}$  is uniformly stably embedded in every elementary extension.

Let  $X$  be a set that is both externaly  $\mathcal{L}$ -definable and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -definable, then  $X$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -definable.

In particular, any  $\mathcal{L}$ -type which is  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -definable is in fact  $\mathcal{L}$ -definable.

# Externally definable sets in NIP theories

## Proposition (Simon-R.)

Let  $T$  be an NIP  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory,  $U(x)$  be a new predicate and  $\phi(x; t) \in \mathcal{L}$ . There exists  $\psi(x; s) \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_U$  a sentence such that for all  $M \models T$  and  $U \subseteq M^{|x|}$  we have:

$U$  is externally  $\phi$ -definable  $\Rightarrow M_U \models \theta_U \Rightarrow U$  is externally  $\psi$ -definable.

- ▶ It follows that (a uniform version of) the previous proposition's conclusion is a first order statement.
- ▶ Hence it suffices to find one model of  $T$  where it holds (uniformly enough); for example, a model where all externally  $\mathcal{L}$ -definable sets are  $\mathcal{L}$ -definable.

## Computing the canonical basis of types in $\text{VDF}_{\mathcal{EC}}$ (II)

- ▶ Let  $(k, \partial)$  be differentially closed. Then  $k((t^{\mathbb{R}}))$  is uniformly stably embedded as a valued field in every elementary extension and it can be made into a model of  $\text{VDF}_{\mathcal{EC}}$ .
- ▶ It follows that if  $p(x)$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{\partial, \text{div}}$ -type over  $M \models \text{VDF}_{\mathcal{EC}}$  which is  $\mathcal{L}_{\partial, \text{div}}^{\text{eq}}(A)$ -definable for some  $A = \text{dcl}^{\text{eq}}(A) \subseteq M^{\text{eq}}$ , then  $\nabla_{\omega}(p)$  is  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{div}}(M)$ -definable and hence its canonical basis is included in  $\mathcal{G}(A)$  and so is the canonical basis of  $p$  itself.

### Theorem

The theory  $\text{VDF}_{\mathcal{EC}}^{\mathcal{G}}$  eliminates imaginaries and has the invariant extension property.

Thanks!