

# The Poisson boundary of lampshuffler groups



Eduardo Silva (eduardo.silva@ens.fr) – GAGTA 2023  
École Normale Supérieure de Paris, France

## Lampshuffler groups

Let  $H$  be a countable group and denote  $\text{FSym}(H)$  the group of finitary permutations of  $H$ . We define **the lampshuffler group**

$$\text{Shuffler}(H) = \text{FSym}(H) \rtimes H,$$

where for every  $h \in H$  and  $f \in \text{FSym}(H)$ , we have  $(h \cdot f)(x) = hf(h^{-1}x)$ , for  $x \in H$ .

## Random walks and the Poisson boundary

Let  $G$  be a countable group and  $\mu$  a probability measure on  $G$ . Let  $(g_i)_{i \geq 1}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables distributed according to  $\mu$ . The  $\mu$ -**random walk** on  $G$  is the process  $W_0 = e_G$ , and  $W_n = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n$ , for  $n \geq 1$ .

Consider the space of infinite trajectories  $G^\infty$  endowed with the probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$ , which is defined as the push-forward of  $\mu^{\mathbb{N}}$  through the map

$$G^\infty \rightarrow G^\infty$$

$$(g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots) \mapsto (W_1, W_2, W_3, \dots) := (g_1, g_1 g_2, g_1 g_2 g_3, \dots).$$

Say that two trajectories  $(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ ,  $(y_1, y_2, \dots)$  in  $G^\infty$  are **orbit equivalent** if for some  $p, N \geq 0$ , it holds that  $x_n = y_{n+p}$  for every  $n \geq N$ . Consider the measurable hull of the orbit equivalence relation in  $G^\infty$ . That is, the  $\sigma$ -algebra of measurable subsets of  $G^\infty$  which are unions of the equivalence classes, modulo  $\mathbb{P}$ -null sets.

### Definition

The associated quotient of  $G^\infty$  by this measurable hull is called the **Poisson boundary** of the random walk  $(G, \mu)$ .

**Question:** Is the Poisson boundary of  $(G, \mu)$  non-trivial? Can we describe it explicitly (in terms of the geometry of  $G$ )?

The Poisson boundary has been completely described (under conditions on  $\mu$ ) for many classes of groups. Notably: **free groups** [Dynkin–Maljutov, Derrienic], **hyperbolic groups** [Ancona, Kaimanovich, Chawla–Forghani–Frisch–Tiozzo], **discrete subgroups of semi-simple Lie groups** [Furstenberg, Ledrappier], **wreath products** [Erschler, Karlsson–Woess, Sava-Huss, Lyons–Peres], **Baumslag–Solitar groups** [Kaimanovich, Cuno–Sava-Huss].

## Entropy and non-triviality of the boundary

The **entropy** of  $\mu$  is defined as  $H(\mu) = -\sum_{g \in G} \mu(g) \log \mu(g)$ . The **Avez asymptotic entropy** of  $(G, \mu)$  is defined as  $h_\mu := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(\mu^{*n})}{n}$ .

### The Entropy Criterion [Derrienic, Kaimanovich–Vershik]

The Poisson boundary of  $(G, \mu)$  is trivial if and only if  $h_\mu = 0$ .

Using this together with methods of [Erschler '04], we prove:

### Proposition

Consider  $H$  a f.g. group and let  $\mu$  be a non-degenerate probability measure on  $\text{Shuffler}(H)$ . Suppose that  $H(\mu) < \infty$  and that  $\mu$  induces a transient random walk on  $H$ . Then the Poisson boundary of  $(\text{Shuffler}(H), \mu)$  is **non-trivial**.

## Stabilization of the permutation coordinate

Let  $G$  be a f.g. group and  $\mu$  a probability measure on  $G$ . Recall that  $\mu$  has **finite first moment** if for some (equivalently, every) word length  $\ell$  on  $G$ , it holds that  $\sum_{g \in G} \ell(g) \mu(g) < \infty$ .

Denote by  $(F_n, S_n)$  the  $\mu$ -random walk on  $\text{Shuffler}(H)$ . We refer to  $(F_n)_{n \geq 0}$  as the **permutation coordinate**.

### Proposition

Let  $H$  be a f.g. group and consider the random walk  $(\text{Shuffler}(H), \mu)$ . Suppose that  $\mu$  has finite first moment and that  $\mu$  induces a transient random walk on  $H$ . Then a.s. the permutation coordinate  $F_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , stabilizes to a limit function  $F_\infty : H \rightarrow H$ .

## Main Theorem: description of the boundary for Shuffler( $\mathbb{Z}$ )

Consider a random walk  $(\text{Shuffler}(\mathbb{Z}), \mu)$ , such that  $\mu$  has finite first moment and induces a transient random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then the space of limit functions  $F_\infty$  completely describes the Poisson boundary of  $(\text{Shuffler}(\mathbb{Z}), \mu)$ .

## The conditional entropy criterion

There is a conditional version of the entropy criterion, due to Kaimanovich. This allows not only to determine the (non-)triviality of the Poisson boundary, but also provide complete descriptions of it.

Let  $G$  be a group and  $\mu$  a probability measure on  $G$ . Let us denote by  $\mathcal{I}$  the sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of shift-invariant events of  $G^\infty$ .

### Definition

A measure space  $(B, \mathcal{A}, \lambda)$  endowed with a measurable  $G$ -action is called a  $\mu$ -**boundary** of  $G$  if there exists a  $G$ -equivariant measurable map  $\pi : G^\infty \rightarrow B$  such that

- $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$  modulo  $\mathbb{P}$ -null sets, and
- $\lambda = \pi_*(\mathbb{P})$  satisfies  $\mu * \lambda = \lambda$  (that is,  $\lambda$  is  $\mu$ -stationary).

### The conditional entropy criterion [Kaimanovich]

Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $G$  with finite entropy, and consider  $\mathbf{B} = (B, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$  a  $\mu$ -boundary of  $G$ . Suppose that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a random sequence of finite subsets  $\{Q_{n,\varepsilon}\}_{n \geq 1}$  of  $G$  such that

1. the random set  $Q_{n,\varepsilon}$  is a measurable function with respect to  $\mathcal{A}$ , for every  $n \geq 1$ ;
2.  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |Q_{n,\varepsilon}| < \varepsilon$  almost surely; and
3.  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(W_n \in Q_{n,\varepsilon}) > 0$ , where  $\{W_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is the trajectory of the  $\mu$ -random walk.

Then  $\mathbf{B}$  coincides with the Poisson boundary of  $(G, \mu)$ .

## The displacement of a permutation

### Definition

Let  $\sigma \in \text{FSym}(\mathbb{Z})$ . The **displacement** of  $\sigma$  is defined as  $\text{Disp}(\sigma) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\sigma(k) - k|$ .

We will need the following lemma.

### Lemma

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $C > 0$ . Consider for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $D_n := \left\{ \sigma \in \text{FSym}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \text{supp}(\sigma) \subseteq [-\varepsilon n, \varepsilon n] \text{ and } \text{Disp}(\sigma) < C\varepsilon n \right\}$ .

Then there is  $K \geq 0$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |D_n| < K\varepsilon.$$

**Proof:** Let us denote  $J_{n\varepsilon} := [-\varepsilon n, \varepsilon n]$ .

• For  $\sigma \in D_n$  and  $k \in J_{n\varepsilon}$ , define

$$d_k := |\sigma(k) - k| \text{ and } s_k = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sigma(k) \geq k, \text{ and} \\ -1, & \text{if } \sigma(k) < k. \end{cases}$$

• Note that  $\sigma$  is completely determined by the values  $\{d_k, s_k \mid k \in J_{n\varepsilon}\}$ .

• There are  $2^{2\varepsilon n + 1}$  possible values for  $\{s_k \mid k \in J_{n\varepsilon}\}$ .

• On the other hand, the values  $\{d_k \mid k \in J_{n\varepsilon}\}$  satisfy  $\sum_{k \in J_{n\varepsilon}} d_k < C\varepsilon n$ . Counting the possible values of  $\{d_k\}$  is equivalent to distributing identical balls into distinguishable boxes.

• We conclude that  $|D_n| \leq 2^{2\varepsilon n + 1} \binom{(C+2)\varepsilon n + 1}{C\varepsilon n}$ , and the lemma follows from Stirling's approximation.

## Proof of the main theorem

• Let us assume that  $\mu(f, x) > 0$  implies  $x = +1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . That is, every increment adds 1 to the  $\mathbb{Z}$  coordinate.

• Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and condition on the limit function  $F_\infty$ . Denote by  $(F_n, S_n)$  the  $\mu$ -random walk on  $\text{Shuffler}(\mathbb{Z})$ .

• Our assumption on  $\mu$  implies that  $S_n = n$  a.s. Thus, we just need to estimate the value of  $F_n$ .

• The first moment hypothesis guarantees that the permutation increments  $\sigma_n$  belong to  $\text{Sym}([-\varepsilon n, \varepsilon n])$ , for large enough  $n$ . This implies that the permutation coordinate  $F_n$  satisfies

$$F_n(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x > (1 + \varepsilon)n, \text{ and} \\ F_\infty(x), & \text{if } x < (1 - \varepsilon)n. \end{cases}$$

• Denote by  $\varphi_n$  the restriction of  $F_n$  to the interval  $[(1 - \varepsilon)n, (1 + \varepsilon)n]$ . A rough estimate for the

possible values of  $\varphi_n$  is  $(2\varepsilon n + 1)!$ . However, this is not good enough to apply the conditional entropy criterion.

• Solution: the displacement  $\text{Disp}(\sigma_n)$  of the permutation increments  $\sigma_n$  has finite first moment (as a random variable on  $\mathbb{Z}$ ).

• Using this together with the law of large numbers, we get that  $\text{Disp}(\varphi_n) < C\varepsilon n$ , for some fixed  $C > 0$ . This allows us to use the lemma above and hence apply the conditional entropy criterion.

