A criterion for p-henselianity in characteristic p[*]

Zoé Chatzidakis[‡]and Milan Perera

Abstract

Let p be a prime. In this paper we give a proof of the following result: A valued field (K, v) of characteristic $p > 0$ is p-henselian if and only if every element of strictly positive valuation is of the form $x^p - x$ for some $x \in K$.

Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all fields have characteristic $p > 0$. First we recall some definitions and notations. Let $\mathcal{O}_v := \{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq 0\}$ be the valuation ring associated with v. It is a local ring, and $\mathcal{M}_v := \{x \in K \mid v(x) > 0\}$ is its maximal ideal. Let $\overline{K}_v := \mathcal{O}_v/\mathcal{M}_v = \{\bar{a} = a + \mathcal{M}_v \mid a \in \mathcal{O}_v\}$ be the residue field (or $\overline{K}$ when there is no danger of confusion). We let $K(p)$ denote the *compositum* of all finite Galois extensions of K of degree a power of p.

A valued field (K, v) is p-henselian if v extends uniquely to $K(p)$. Equivalently (see [1], Thm 4.3.2), if it satisfies a restricted version of Hensel's lemma (which we call p-Hensel lemma) : K is p-henselian if and only if every polynomial $P \in \mathcal{O}_v[X]$ which splits in $K(p)$ and with residual image in $\overline{K}_v[X]$ having a simple root α in $\overline{K}_v$, has a root a in $\mathcal{O}_v$ with $\bar{a} = \alpha$. Furthermore, another result (see [1], Thm 4.2.2) shows that (K, v) is p-henselian if and only if v extends uniquely to every Galois extension of degree p.

The aim of this note is to give a complete proof of the following result:

Theorem. Let (K, v) be a valued field. (K, v) is p-henselian *if and only if* $\mathcal{M}_v \subseteq \{x^p - x \mid x \in K\}$.

This result was announced in [3], Proposition 1.4, however the proof was not complete. The notion of p-henselianity is important in the study of fields with definable valuations, and in particular it is important to show that the property of p-henselianity is an elementary property of valued fields.

The proof we give is elementary, and uses extensively pseudo-convergent sequences and their properties. Recall that a sequence $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \kappa} \in K^\kappa$ indexed by an ordinal κ is said to be *pseudo-convergent* if for all $\alpha < \beta < \gamma < \kappa$:

$$v(a_\beta - a_\alpha) < v(a_\gamma - a_\beta). \tag{1}$$

A pseudo-convergent sequence $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \kappa}$ is called *algebraic* if there is a polynomial P in $K[X]$ such that $v\big(P(a_\alpha)\big) < v\big(P(a_\beta)\big)$ ultimately for all $\alpha < \beta$, *i.e*:

$$\exists \lambda < \kappa \forall \alpha, \beta < \kappa \quad (\lambda < \alpha < \beta) \Rightarrow v\big(P(a_\alpha)\big) < v\big(P(a_\beta)\big). \tag{2}$$

Otherwise, it is called *transcendental*.

We assume familiarity with the properties of pseudo-convergent sequences, see [2] for more details, and in particular Theorem 3, Lemmas 4 and 8.

Proof of the theorem

First, we prove a lemma in order to restrict our study to immediate extensions:

Observation. *Let (K, v) be a valued field and (L, w) be a Galois extension of degree a prime ℓ. Then, if $(L, w)/(K, v)$ is residual or ramified, w is the unique extension of v to L.*

Proof. The fundamental equality of valuation theory (see [1], Thm 3.3.3) tells us that if L is a Galois extension of K, then

$$[L : K] = e(L/K)f(L/K)gd \tag{3}$$

where $e(L/K)$ is the ramification index, $f(L/K)$ the residue index, g the number of extensions of v to L and d, the defect, is a power of p.

Thus, as ℓ is a prime, if $e(L/K)f(L/K) > 1$, then necessarily $g = d = 1$, and in particular, v has a unique extension to L. $\qquad\square$

Now, let us prove the result announced in the preliminaries:

Theorem. *Let $(K, \mathcal{O}_v)$ be a valued field of characteristic p. Then, $(K, \mathcal{O}_v)$ is p-henselian if and only if $\mathcal{M}_v \subseteq K^{(p)} := \{x^p - x \mid x \in K\}$.*

Proof. The forward direction is an immediate application of the p-Hensel Lemma.

Conversely, assume that $\mathcal{M}_v \subseteq K^{(p)} := \{x^p - x \mid x \in K\}$. Every Galois extension of K of degree p is an Artin-Schreier extension, *i.e* is generated over K by a root a of a polynomial $X^p - X - b = 0$, with $b \in K \setminus K^{(p)}$. The previous observation gives us the result when $K(a)/K$ is not immediate. Let L be an immediate Galois extension of degree p and $\tilde{v}$ an extension of v to L (hence with the same value group Γ and residue field $\overline{L} = \overline{K}$ as K). We can write $L = K(a)$ where $a^p - a = b \in K \setminus K^{(p)}$.

Step 1: (Claim) The set $C = \{v(x^p - x - b) \mid x \in K\} = v(K^{(p)} - b)$ is contained in $\Gamma_{<0}$ and has no last element.

First observe that $C \subseteq \Gamma_{\leq 0}$: if $v(c^p - c - b) > 0$, then the equation $X^p - X + (c^p - c - b)$ has a root in K, so that $(a - c) \in K$: contradiction. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $d \in K$ such that $v(d^p - d - b) = \gamma$. As L/K is immediate there is $c \in K$ such that $\tilde{v}(a - (d + c)) > \tilde{v}(a - d)$. If $\tilde{v}(a - d) = 0$ then $\tilde{v}(a - (d + c)) > 0$ and $((d + c)^p - (d + c) - b) = (d + c - a)^p - (d + c - a)$ in $\mathcal{M}_v$, which as above give a contradiction. Hence $\tilde{v}(a - d) < 0$, and from $d^p - d - b = (d - a)^p - (d - a)$, we deduce that $\gamma = p\tilde{v}(a - d) < 0$, and $v((d + c)^p - (d + c) - b) = p\left(\tilde{v}(a - (d + c))\right) > \gamma$. This shows the claim.

Step 2: We extract a strictly well-ordered increasing and cofinal sequence from C. If we write $P(X) := X^p - X - b$, we get a sequence $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \kappa}$ in K such that the sequence $\{v(P(a_\rho))\}_{\rho < \kappa}$ is stricly increasing and cofinal in C. Thus, the sequence $\{P(a_\rho)\}_{\rho < \kappa}$ is pseudo-convergent (with 0 one of its limits). As $v(P(a_\alpha)) < 0$, we have $v(a_\beta - a_\alpha) = \frac{1}{p}v(P(a_\alpha)) = \gamma_\alpha$ for $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$. Thus, the sequence $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \kappa}$ is also pseudo-convergent. Furthermore, $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \kappa}$ has no limit in K: if $l \in K$ is a limit of $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho < \kappa}$ then $P(l)$ is a limit of $\{P(a_\rho)\}_{\rho < \kappa}$. As $\{v(P(a_\rho))\}_{\rho < \kappa}$ is cofinal in C, $v(P(l))$ would be a maximal element of C: contradiction.

Step 3: (Claim) Let $P_0(X) \in K[X]$, and assume that $v(P_0(a_\alpha))$ is strictly increasing ultimately. Then $\deg(P_0(X)) \geq p$.
We take such a P_0 of minimal degree, assume this degree is $n < p$, and will

derive a contradiction. One consequence of Lemma 8 in [2] is that:

$$v\big(P_0(a_\rho)\big) = \delta' + \gamma_\rho \text{ ultimately for } \rho < \kappa \tag{4}$$

where δ' is the ultimate valuation of $P_0'(a_\rho)$ and γ_ρ is the valuation of $(a_\sigma - a_\rho)$ for $\rho < \sigma < \kappa$ (which does not depend on σ as $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho<\kappa}$ is pseudo-convergent). We write $P(X) = \sum_{i=0}^m h_i(X)P_0(X)^i$ with $\deg(h_i) < n, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then, $\{h_i(a_\rho)\}_{\rho<\kappa}$ is ultimately of constant valuation, and we let λ_i be this valuation. As $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho<\kappa}$ has no limit in K, it is easy to see that $n > 1$, so that $m < p$. By Lemma 4 in [2], there is an integer $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that we have ultimately:

$$\forall i \neq i_0 \quad (\lambda_i + i\delta') + i\gamma_\rho > (\lambda_{i_0} + i_0\delta') + i_0\gamma_\rho. \tag{5}$$

Then, ultimately:

$$p\gamma_\rho = v\big(P(a_\rho)\big) = v\Big(\sum_{i=0}^m h_i(a_\rho)P_0(a_\rho)^i\Big) = \lambda_{i_0} + i_0(\delta' + \gamma_\rho). \tag{6}$$

Thus, we have ultimately $(p-i_0)\gamma_\rho = \lambda_{i_0} + i_0\delta'$. As $p > m \geq i_0$, the left hand side of the equation increases strictly monotonically with ρ. But the right hand side is constant: it has no dependence in ρ! We have a contradiction, thus $n = p$.

Step 4: Clearly, $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho<\kappa}$ is of algebraic type. By Theorem 3 in [2], if a_∞ is a root of P, we get an immediate extension $(L', v') = (K(a_\infty), v')$. Let $a_\infty = a$, we have $(K(a), v')$ isomorphic to $(K(a), \tilde{v})$. Thus:

$$\forall Q \in K_p[X] \quad \tilde{v}\big(Q(a)\big) = v'\big(Q(a)\big) = v\big(Q(a_\rho)\big) \text{ ultimately} \tag{7}$$

This shows the uniqueness of $\tilde{v}$ and concludes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

References

[1] A.J. Engler, A. Prestel, *Valued fields.* Springer, 2005.

[2] I. Kaplansky, *Maximal Fields with Valuations.* Duke Math. J. Volume 9, Number 2 (1942), 303 – 321.

[3] J. Koenigsmann, *p-Henselian Fields.* Manuscripta Math. 87 (1995), no. 1, 89 – 99.

Adresses and contacts of the authors:

Département de Mathématiques et Applications (UMR 8553)
ENS
45 rue d'Ulm
75230 Paris cedex 05
FRANCE
e-mail: `zoe.chatzidakis@ens.fr`

IMJ-PRG (UMR 7586), Equipe TGA
UPMC - Campus Jussieu
4, place Jussieu
Boite Courrier 247 - 75252 Paris Cedex 05
FRANCE
E-mail: `milan.perera@imj-prg.fr`